

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE



2017 POINT-IN-TIME HOMELESS COUNT REPORT




TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.		vledgements	
2.	Execut	ve Summary	11
3.	Introdu	iction	14
4.	Metho	dology	15
	a.	Overview	15
	b.	City Engagement	16
	c.	Survey Development	17
	d.	Data Entry & Deduplication Method	18
	e.	Volunteer Recruitment	
	f.	Unsheltered Homeless Count	20
		i. Street-Based Count	
		ii. Service-Based Count	
		iii. Behavioral Health Outreach Count	
		iv. Follow-up Count During Seven Day Window	
		v. Youth Count	
	g.	Sheltered Homeless Count	
5.	Unshel	tered Homeless Count Findings	
	a.	Overall by City & Type of Data	
	b.	Reasons Contributing to Homelessness	
	c.	Overall by Gender	
	d.	Overall by Race and Ethnicity	
	e.	Overall by Age	30
	f.	Overall by Veterans	
	g.	Overall by Youth	31
	h.	Overall by Subpopulations	
	i.	Subpopulations Disabling Conditions Preventing Housing	
	j.	Subpopulation Data by City	35
6.	Shelter	ed Homeless Count Findings	37
	a.	Overall by Housing Type	
	b.	Subpopulations	
7.	Conclu	sion	38
8.	Challer	ges, Limitations, & Recommendations	39
9.	Append	dix A: Survey Instruments	
	a.		
	b.	Youth PIT Count Survey Interview Tool	44
	c.	Youth PIT Count Survey Observational Tool	
		dix B: City Summary Tables	
		dix C: County Summary Tables	
		dix D: Misconceptions about PIT Counts	
13.	Append	dix F: HUD Youth Homeless Definition	96

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The County of Riverside Department of Public Social Services (DPSS) Director, Susan von Zabern, and Assistant Director, Lisa Shiner, along with the 2017 Point-In-Time Homeless Count (PIT Count) Planning Team would like to thank all of the individuals and agencies who contributed their time, money, staff, and effort toward this important event. Coordinating the PIT Count is a massive undertaking. We would not have been able to conduct this count and survey without the participation of many community-based organizations and agencies.

We extend our sincerest appreciation to our public volunteers and the agencies that allowed their staff to volunteer for the PIT Count:

ABC Recovery Center All Saints Episcopal Church Atrium Sober Living

Banning Family Community Health Center

Banning Police Department

Banning Wellness City - RI International

Barton CPA

Beaumont Police Department

Be Remarkable Today

Bridge Church

California Baptist University California Children's Services California Rural Legal Assistance

California State University, San Bernardino

Calimesa Code Enforcement Calvary Murrieta Church Calvary Presbyterian Church

Catholic Charities

Church of Jesus Christ Latter Day Saints

City of Banning
City of Beaumont
City of Blythe
City of Calimesa
City of Cathedral City
City of Coachella
City of Corona

City of Desert Hot Springs

City of Eastvale City of Hemet

City of Hemet Parks and Recreation

City of Indian Wells

City of Indio

City of Jurupa Valley

City of Lake Elsinore City of La Quinta City of Menifee City of Moreno Valley City of Murrieta

City of Norco
City of Palm Desert
City of Palm Springs

City of Perris

City of Rancho Mirage City of Riverside City of San Jacinto City of Temecula

City of Wildomar

Coachella Valley Assoc. of Governments (CVAG)

Coachella Valley Economic Partnership Coachella Valley Rescue Mission Coachella Police Department

Commission on Disabilities, Inland Regional

Center

Common Good Community Development

Community Access Center

Community Action Partnership Riverside County Community Good Deeds Nonprofit Organization

Community of Mecca

Community Mission of Hope

Community of Reformed Evangelical Churches
Community Resource and Educational Center

Congregational Church of Menifee

Corona Code Enforcement
Corona Fire Safety Foundation
Corona Police Department
Corona Settlement House

Corona Vet Center Courage Campaign Create a Bright Life Crime Watch

Desert Arc

Desert Best Friend's Closet

Desert Hot Springs Family Resource Center Desert Hot Springs Neighborhood Group

Dios Vive Dominium

Down Syndrome Association of Inland Empire

Eastvale Bible Church Eye of a Needle Foundation

First Presbyterian Church of Hemet

Foothill AIDS Project
GIA Community Outreach
Good Works Movement
Gregory Barton & Associates

Green Coalition of San Jacinto Valley GUMBO First Baptist Church of Riverside

Habitat for Humanity Inland Valley

Harmony Kitchen Harvest Church HD Supply White Cap Health to Hope Clinics

Healthy Jurupa
Helping Hands Group
Hemet Code Enforcement
Hemet Community Pantry

Hemet Library

Hemet Police Department

Hemet/San Jacinto United for Change

Hemet Unified School District

Homeless Task Force Sub-Committee

Hope Lutheran Church Human Rights Commission Idyllwild HELP Center Indio Police Department

Jewish Family Service of the Desert

Joe Gray's Plumbing
Journey TAY Program
Jubilee Sober-Living Homes
Jurupa Valley Code Enforcement
Jurupa Valley Safety Committee

JustServe.org

La Quinta Police Department

La Sierra University

La Sierra University Church

Lake Menifee Women's Club

Larios LDS Church

Lesbian Gay Center Life in His Hands Lifeline Street Ministry

LightHouse Social Service Centers

LLLeindecker & Associates

Loma Linda Veteran Affairs Hospital

Love and Loyalty

Lutheran Social Services Magnolia Presbyterian Church

Manzanita Ranch

Martha's Village & Kitchen

Mecca Family & Farmworkers Service Center

Mecca Family Resource Center

Men and Women Ministry on the Move

Menifee Buzz Newspaper

Menifee Valley Chamber of Commerce Menifee Valley Community Cupboard

Menifee Crime Watch

Menifee Kay Ceniceros Senior Center

Menifee Police Department Menifee Union School District Menifee Valley Community Church

Mission Outreach Project Monteleone Meadows Moreno Valley College

Moreno Valley Police Department

My Butterfly House

National Alliance for Women
National Alliance on Mental Illness

Norco Community College

ONE-PS

Operation SafeHouse

Osher Lifelong Learning Institute
Palm Springs Homeless Task Force
Palm Springs Human Rights Commission

Palm Springs Police Department Palm Springs Public Library Palm Springs Rotary Club

Palm Springs Unified School District

Path of Life Ministries
Peace Lutheran Church
Perris Code Enforcement
Perris Police Department
Power Speaks Louder
Press Enterprise

Project Riley Provident Bank

PW Enhancement Center

Reaching New Heights Foundation

Realty One Group

ResCare Workforce Services

Riverside County Registrar of Voters

RI International/ NAMI Signature Programs

Riverside Access Center Riverside City College

Riverside Univ. Health Sys. Behavioral Health

Riverside County Code Enforcement Riverside County Dept. of Animal Services

Riverside County Dept. of Child Support Services

Riverside County Dept. of Public Health

Riverside County Dept. of Public Social Services Riverside County Dept. of Veterans Services Riverside County Economic Dev. Agency Riverside County District Attorney's Office Riverside County Emergency Mgmt. Dept.

Riverside County Executive Office Riverside County Flood Control

Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency

Riverside County Health Administration Riverside County Housing Authority Riverside County Human Resources Riverside County Probation Dept. Riverside County Sheriff's Dept.

Riverside County Supervisor Chuck Washington Riverside County Supervisor John J. Benoit Riverside County Supervisor John F. Tavaglione Riverside County Supervisor Kevin Jeffries Riverside County Supervisor Marion Ashley

Riverside County TMLA Harmony Kitchen San Bernardino County

San Jacinto Code Enforcement

San Jacinto Police Dept. Santa Ana Ministry Group

Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority

Seams of Gold SEIU 721

Seller & Keever Foundation Senior Advocates of the Desert

Serve-One, Inc.

Southwest County Detention Center

Speak Life

StarWriter Enterprises
St. Carries Center

St. Catherine's of Alexandria

St. Thomas Church

State of California's Employment Dev. Dept.

Step Up On Second

Sunrise Christian Fellowship

Sunrise Park Neighborhood Assoc. Palm Springs

Temecula Community Pantry Temecula Police Department Temecula Rotary Club Temecula Valley Atheists Temecula Veterans Center

The Grove Community Church

The Hole In Wall, Inc.
The Well in the Desert
United Church of God

The Gathering Church

University of California Riverside

University of Phoenix

U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Dev.

U.S. Vets

VA Loma Linda Healthcare System Val Verde Unified School District

Valley Restart Shelter

VCC Vista Community Clinic Lake Elsinore

Veterans Community Services Veterans of Foreign Wars

VitalCare America

Western Riverside Council of Gov. (WRCOG)

Women's Veterans Unity Group

2YouMusic

Youth Count Volunteer Organizations

California Family Life Center - Rubidoux YOC Operation SafeHouse Riverside

California Family Life Center - Empower Youth Operation SafeHouse Riverside - Cup of Happy

City of Menifee Power Speaks Louder

City of Murrieta Riverside University Health Systems - Behavioral

City of Temecula Health TAY Journey Program
City of Riverside Romoland School District
County of Riverside Dept. of Public Social Srvcs. Safe Schools Desert Cities

Oasis Community Services Victor Community Support Services

Operation SafeHouse of the Desert

We would also like to thank all the following cities and agencies who permitted City Leaders, Law Enforcement Leaders and Site Coordinators to promote, develop, and coordinate the street-based count in their respective areas and to use their facilities as Deployment Centers. Deployment Centers were used as a place for volunteers to gather, receive instructions, safety reminders, supplies, incentives, maps, and team assignments:

City/Community	Key Leader(s)	Deployment Site
CITIES		
	Debbie Franklin	
Banning	City of Banning	Banning Police Department
Danning	Police Chief Alex Diaz	Banning Police Department
	Banning Police Department	
	Sergeant George Walter	
Beaumont	Beaumont Police Department	Beaumont Police Department
Beaumont	Deputy Michael Granada	Beaumont Fonce Department
	Beaumont Police Department	
Blythe	Barbara Burrow	Blythe City Hall
	City of Blythe	
	Sergeant Richard Beatty	Riverside County Sheriff's
Calimesa	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Cabazon Station
	Deputy Jacob Jenson	
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
Cathedral City	Deputy Dwayne Hodges	Cathedral City Police Station
	Cathedral City Police Department	
	Alex Alarcon	
Coachella	City of Coachella	Coachella City Hall
Coachella	Sergeant Robert Castro	Coachena City Haii
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
	Lieutenant Mark Johnson	
Corona	Deputy Jon Rosenblum	Corona Police Department
	Corona Police Department	
Desert Hot Springs	Joe McKee	DHS Family Resource Center
	Desert Hot Springs City Councilman	213 ranny Resource Center

City/Community	Key Leader(s)	Deployment Site
Eastvale	Deputy Steve Lycopolus	Riverside County Sheriff's
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Jurupa Valley Station
	Carla Callahan	
	City of Hemet	
	Susan Larkin	
	Valley Restart	
Howart	Lieutenant Eric Dickson	Valley Wide Recreation
Hemet	Hemet Police Department	Community Center
	Sergeant Dan Reinbolt	
	Hemet Police Department	
	Detective A. Paez	
	Hemet Police Department	
	Chief Dave Brown	
	Hemet Police Department	
Indian Wells	David Gassaway	Indian Wells City Hall
	City of Indian Wells	·
Indio	Christie Curtis	Martha's Village & Kitchen
	Martha's Village & Kitchen	
	Jesus Gomez	
	City of Indio	
	Deputy Jose Ibarra	
	Indio Police Department	
	Deputy Brandon Haworth	
	Indio Police Department	
Jurupa Valley	Deputy Steve Lycopolus	Riverside County Sheriff's
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Jurupa Valley Station
La Orrinta	Deputy Arnoldo Iniguez	Carral Chala Dalua Danart
La Quinta	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Sam's Club, Palm Desert
Lake Elsinore (including	Audrey Young	Riverside County Sheriff's
Lakeland Village)	City of Lake Elsinore	Lake Elsinore Station
33,	Nicole Dailey	
	City of Lake Elsinore	
	Deputy Alejandro Salcedo	
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
	Deputy Kevin Whitford	
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
	Maria Machuca	
Mecca	Department of Public Social Services	Mecca Family Resource Center
	Jason Hendrix	
Menifee	City of Menifee	Kay Ceniceros Senior Center
	Deputy Karla Girard	,
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
	Deputy Robert Wilson	
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
Moreno Valley	Isa Rojas	Moreno Valley City Hall
	City of Moreno Valley	
	,	<u> </u>

City/Community	Key Leader(s)	Deployment Site
	Mark Houck	
	Lighthouse Social Services Centers	
	Corporal Dave Clark	
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
Murrieta	Brian Ambrose	Temecula Community Center
	City of Murrieta	
	Deputy Steve Lycopolus	
Norco	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Riverside County Sheriff's
	Deputy Arturo Mendez	Jurupa Valley Station
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
Palm Desert	Amy Lawrence	Palm Desert City Hall
	City of Palm Desert	
Palm Springs	Dale Cook	Palm Springs City Hall
	City of Palm Springs	
	Kristii MacEwen	
Perris	Path of Life Ministries	Perris Police Department
	Deputy James Updike	
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
Rancho Mirage	Britt Wilson	Rancho Mirage City Hall
	City of Rancho Mirage	
Riverside	Monica Sapien	City of Riverside Access Center
	City of Riverside Access Center	St. Michael's Episcopal Church
	Renee Yarnall	Valley Wide Recreation
San Jacinto	City of San Jacinto	Community Center
	Sergeant Robert Himmelberg	Community Center
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
	Robin Gilliland	
	City of Temecula	
Temecula	Deputy Todd Johnson	Temecula Community Center
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
	Deputy Jeret McClellan	
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
Wildomar	Keith Ross	Wildomar City Hall
201111111111111111111111111111111111111	City of Wildomar	
COUNTY AREAS		
Color of Books at the	Sergeant Richard Beatty	B:
Cabazon RSO Station Area	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Riverside County Sheriff's
	Deputy Jacob Jenson	Cabazon Station
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
Colon de Biologo de Ci	Sergeant Tom Velarde	B: a state Co. at Gl. 188
Colorado River RSO Station	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Riverside County Sheriff's
Area	Deputy Joe Aguilar	Colorado River Station
	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	

City/Community	Key Leader(s)	Deployment Site
Hemet (County) RSO Station Area	Deputy Frank James Riverside County Sheriff's Department Deputy Marc Searl Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Valley Wide Recreation Community Center
Jurupa Valley (County) RSO Station Area	Deputy Steve Lycopolus Riverside County Sheriff's Department Deputy Aaron Avila Riverside County Sheriff's Department Deputy Bridgette Recksiek Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Riverside County Sheriff's Jurupa Valley Station
Lake Elsinore (County) RSO Station Area	Deputy Alejandro Salcedo Riverside County Sheriff's Department Deputy Kevin Whitford Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Riverside County Sheriff's Lake Elsinore Station
Palm Desert (County) RSO Station Area	Sergeant Zamora Riverside County Sheriff's Department Deputy Mike Troyer Riverside County Sheriff's Department Deputy Roberto Loza Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Riverside County Sheriff's Palm Desert Station
Southwest RSO Station Area	Deputy Todd Johnson Riverside County Sheriff's Department Deputy Jeret McClellan Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Temecula Community Center
Thermal RSO Station Area	Sergeant Robert Castro Riverside County Sheriff's Department Sergeant John Gaw Riverside County Sheriff's Department Deputy Arnoldo Iniguez Riverside County Sheriff's Department Deputy Maggie Lopez Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Sam Club, Palm Desert

We also would like to provide a special acknowledgement to Riverside Sheriff's Department Chief Jason Horton and Jurupa Valley Station's Lieutenant Robert Spivacke and the Riverside County Sheriff's Homeless Outreach Team, Deputy Aaron Avila and Deputy Bridgette Recksiek, for their leadership, partnership and contributions for coordinating and providing technical support to the Riverside Sheriff's Homeless Liaisons and other police department liaisons during the planning phase preceding the Count.

Thank you to all city leaders for working to increase public awareness about the Riverside County PIT Homeless Count. We express our deep gratitude to the individuals and organizations who donated money, personal care items, and services to the county-wide PIT Homeless Count, including, but not limited to:

HomeAid Inland Empire

Valley Restart

Starbucks

Menifee Valley Community Cupboard

San Gorgonio Girl Scout Troup 284

Sage Dentistry

Power Speaks Louder

McDonalds Restaurants (Shalhoub Family)

San Gorgonio Girl Scout Troop 176

Anna Hause Elementary School, Beaumont, CA - Early Act Club & Friday Night Live Kids

Club

These generous donations contributed to 2,200 incentive bags which included a pair of socks, a bar of soap, a resource card, a knit cap, a pair of gloves and additional incentives targeted to unaccompanied youth. These incentive bags were given to homeless individuals who completed our survey during the unsheltered street-based and service-based counts on January 24, 2017.

Finally, we would like to thank: Members of the Continuum of Care (CoC) for their insightful comments and feedback regarding the preliminary PIT Count data; The Institute for Urban Initiatives for serving as an ad hoc consultant throughout the PIT Count process; Riverside County Information Technology for their incredible turnaround time generating maps that were distributed to volunteers during the PIT street-based count; and Riverside County DPSS Purchasing Department for quickly processing all PIT Count-related purchase requests.

The 2017 PIT Count was planned, coordinated and implemented by the Riverside County DPSS, Adult Services Division, Homeless Programs Unit.

The County of Riverside, Department of Public Social Services Adult Services Division – Homeless Programs Unit PIT Count Planning Team

Joshua Coda	Rowena Concepcion	Erika Gonzalez
Administrative Services Assistant	Administrative Services Officer	Office Assistant II (TAP)
Elizabeth Hernandez	Donyielle Holley	Jill Kowalski
Program Specialist II	Social Service Planner	Administrative Services Manager II
Patricia McMicheaux	Tiffany Nelson	Evelyn Pham
Administrative Services Analyst II	Administrative Services Assistant	Office Assistant III
Veronica Ramirez	Linda Salas	George Solis
Administrative Services Assistant	Program Specialist II	Administrative Services Analyst II
Tammy Trinh	Ryoko Yamasaki	Amie St. Criox
UCR Intern	Administrative Services Analyst II	Secretary II (ASD)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The 2017 Point-In-Time Homeless Count and Survey (PIT Count), conducted on January 24, 2017, identified **1,638 unsheltered** and **768 sheltered** homeless people (**total = 2,406**) in Riverside County, California. **The total Point-In-Time Count increased by 11% from 2016 to 2017.** Data collected during the count reflects a snapshot of Riverside County's homeless population at one particular point-in-time.

The total
Point-In-Time
Homeless Count
increased by 11.5%
from 2016 to 2017

The 2017 unsheltered count methodology consisted of a street-based count, service-based count, and a homeless outreach count conducted by the Riverside University Health System

The total
unsheltered
homeless people
counted increased
by 21.2% in 2017
to 1,638

- Behavioral Health homeless outreach teams. A total of 498 volunteers were deployed across Riverside County to successfully count and survey the unsheltered homeless population. PIT Count data was deduplicated using an algorithm to generate a unique ID for each individual counted which utilized several fields of information from the survey. This process allowed data analysts to easily identify and eliminate duplicate records using a statistical database program. Compared to the 2016 PIT Count, the

number of unsheltered homeless people **increased by 21.2% in 2017 to 1,638**. Among the 1,638 unsheltered individuals counted in 2017, 1,128 were interviewed and 510 were observed.

The sheltered count methodology consisted of data extracted from the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) to identify sheltered homeless individuals on the night of January 23, 2017.

Compared to the 2016 PIT Count, the number of sheltered homeless people decreased by 5.7% in 2017 to 768. The increase in the overall unsheltered 2017 PIT Count reflects an actual increase in our homeless population and a countywide commitment to conducting a more collaborative and rigorous count. Based on feedback provided to the County of Riverside Department of Public Social Services (DPSS), the increase may be attributed to the following:

The total sheltered homeless people counted decreased by 5.7% in 2017 to 768

- In 2017, following HUD's new requirements to have a separate youth count, efforts to obtain a more accurate count of youth ages 24 and under that are experiencing homelessness were increased. Youth friendly partners were invited to assist in implementing the youth count. These partners included: youth opportunity centers, transitional age youth programs, youth emergency shelters, city leaders, and other public, private, and non-profit agencies focused on the goal to end homelessness for youth. The knowledge and experience that each collaborative partner brought to the table helped us better identify homeless youth during both the traditional and the youth counts. By having youth friendly volunteers, youth experiencing homelessness were more willing to participate in the PIT Survey. The total 2017 count for youth ages 24 and under is 193 (interview and observational); a 103% increase from last year's count. This increase is likely due to an increased collaboration and focus on youth experiencing homelessness.
- For the 2017 Point in Time Count, there was a targeted countywide effort to significantly increase city engagement activities and the participation of law enforcement agencies working on the frontlines to end homelessness. This enhanced partnership included the Riverside County Sheriff's Department, various City Police Departments, Riverside County and City Code Enforcement agencies, Riverside County Probation Department, DPSS Homeless Programs Unit, Housing Authority of the County of Riverside, The Riverside University Health System Behavioral Health (formerly Department of Mental Health), the U.S. Department of Veterans' Affairs (VA), Loma Linda Medical Center, and other key nonprofit housing providers. These coordination efforts helped to improve planning activities through the pre-canvassing of communities to identify more accurate homeless locations. There was also an increased commitment from community partners to conduct a more accurate count by conducting follow up counts during the seven day window following the PIT Count date.
- Riverside County was under a severe thunderstorm warning by the National Weather Service in the weeks and days leading up to the PIT Count. In addition, the region received amounts of rain that were record-breaking in the days before the PIT Count, including the day before. As the majority of Riverside County's unsheltered homeless population resides in unsheltered settings, a partnership with first responders to raise awareness for the PIT Count and to promote the outreach services for homeless veterans, youth and families taking place on the day of the Count had a significant impact. This message was layered onto an existing safety advisory initiated by first responders to warn homeless individuals of the dangers of residing in riverbeds, flood control channels and areas prone to mudslides during thunderstorms. This countywide effort between partnering county agencies helped to:
 - Raise awareness and educate the homeless population of the benefits of participating in the Count;
 - Educate the most service-resistant individuals residing in hard to reach areas; and
 - Reinforce a common purpose to bring homeless individuals out of the shadows and promote a common message not to fear law enforcement personnel escorting PIT Count volunteers into encampments.

Homeless individuals that heeded the law enforcement/emergency management warning either sought shelter in drier areas or felt safe to participate in the survey, thus increasing the number of individuals surveyed during the entire PIT Count.

- ♣ During the 2017 PIT Count, there was a 65% (201) increase in the number of observational surveys utilized compared to 2016. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) makes provisions for using an observational survey instead of an interview survey in the following situations:
 - PIT Count volunteer is unable to enter the location to conduct a survey.
 - PIT Count volunteer cannot conduct a survey (person refused to answer questions, language or other issues).
 - PIT Count volunteer does not wish to disturb people who are sleeping.

However, due to the limited amount of information collected on the observational survey and the fact that it includes the volunteer's perspective as opposed to the homeless individual's response, it makes the deduplication process challenging. Even though every effort is made to remove duplicates, there is no guarantee that some of the individuals observed may or may not have been interviewed at another time during the PIT Count.

Riverside County's Department of Public Social Services (DPSS), in partnership with the County of Riverside Continuum of Care continues to explore new and innovative strategies for conducting a successful PIT Count in 2017 and beyond.

INTRODUCTION

The Point-In-Time (PIT) Homeless Count is a count and survey of Riverside County's sheltered and unsheltered homeless population. Riverside County's Department of Public Social Services (DPSS), in partnership with County of Riverside Continuum of Care, is required to conduct this biennial count during the last 10 days in January. The Continuum of Care (CoC) is a network of private- and public-sector homeless service providers, designed to promote community-wide planning and the strategic use of resources to address homelessness.

The PIT Count is federally-mandated by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to count and survey the homeless population in cities and counties throughout the nation, including Riverside County. Each count is planned, coordinated, and carried out locally. Data collected during the Count reflects a *snapshot* of Riverside County's homeless population at one particular point-in-time and is required in order to: continue receiving federal funding for homeless assistance programs, develop strategies to end homelessness, help homeless individuals and families who are in need, and produce a full report to HUD regarding the homeless individuals in Riverside County. In 2016, Riverside City and County received a total of **\$9.9 million** by HUD for the Continuum of Care Program for homeless programs and services.

HUD defines a person who is homeless as: (a) living in a place not designed or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for humans (i.e., abandoned buildings, cars, parks, under bridges, bus stops, etc.); and (b) living in emergency shelters and transitional housing projects¹. It is important to note that HUD's definition does not include households that are below the poverty line or those individuals that are near-homeless. In addition, HUD identifies people to exclude from the count²:

- (a) Persons counted in any location not listed on the CoC's Housing Inventory Count (HIC);
- (b) Persons residing in permanent housing (PH) programs, including persons housed using HUD Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) vouchers;
- (c) Persons temporarily staying with family or friends (i.e., "doubled-up" or "couch surfing");
- (d) Persons residing in housing they rent or own (i.e., permanent housing), including persons residing in rental housing with assistance from a RRH project on the night of the count; and
- (e) Persons residing in institutions (e.g., jails, juvenile correction facilities, foster care, hospital beds, detox centers).

¹ 2014 Point-In-Time HUD Methodology Guide, p. 4

² 2014 Point-In-Time HUD Methodology Guide, p. 30

METHODOLOGY

Overview

The 2017 Point-In-Time (PIT) Homeless Count unsheltered methodology consisted of the following primary components:

- a) Street-based count on January 24, 2017 between 5:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m.
- b) Service-based count on January 24, 2017 between 7:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.
- c) Riverside University Health System Behavioral Health (RUHS-BH) Homeless Outreach between January 24, 2017 January 30, 2017 (seven-day period of time to cover unincorporated areas and perform a sweep of city areas to count and survey homeless individuals missed on January 24, 2017.)
- d) Follow-up Count and Survey during the seven day post-count window (City and County staff, volunteers and Riverside County Sheriff's deputies (seven day period between January 24, 2017 January 30, 2017 to count and survey homeless individuals in cities and unincorporated areas missed on January 24, 2017.)
- e) Unaccompanied Youth (age 24 or under) street-based and service-based counts between January 24, 2017 January 30, 2017 (seven-day period of time to cover targeted unaccompanied youth homeless locations.)

The 2017 PIT Count unsheltered methodology was similar to the 2016 PIT Count unsheltered methodology, with the exception of the HUD mandated separate Youth Count conducted in 2017. Although a separate Youth Count was not conducted in 2016, youth were identified and counted during the street-based, service-based, and sheltered counts. See Table 1 below for a comparison of PIT Count methodology.

Table 1: Comparison of PIT Count Unsheltered Methodology

2016	2017
Street-based count	Street-based count
Service-based count	Service-based count
Riverside University Health System - Behavioral Health Homeless Outreach (seven-day period of time to cover the unincorporated areas and perform sweep of cities to count and survey missed people)	Riverside University Health System – Behavioral Health Homeless Outreach (seven-day period of time to cover the unincorporated areas and perform sweep of cities to count and survey missed people)
	Follow-up Count and Survey during the seven day post-count window (City and County staff, volunteers and Riverside County Sheriff officers (seven day period between January 24, 2017 – January 30, 2017 to count and survey homeless individuals in cities and unincorporated areas missed on January 24, 2017.) This was due to the unprecedented rainstorms in the region prior to the Count.
	Youth Count (seven day period to cover targeted homeless unaccompanied youth locations)

Subsequent sections will further compare the 2016 and 2017 PIT Count unsheltered methodologies. In both 2016 and 2017, specific attention was given to city engagement and survey development as opportunities to increase the accuracy of the PIT Count.

City Engagement

The methods of city engagement used in 2017 paralleled the methods used in 2016. DPSS made efforts to engage city leaders, law enforcement personnel, and establish deployment centers in each city. A thorough list of unincorporated communities was incorporated into the street-based count. City leaders who requested further assistance were assigned a DPSS Site Coordinator to support PIT Count activities on the day of the PIT Count. These DPSS Site Coordinators worked in tandem with city leaders. Their role was primarily to assist the city leaders who were in charge at each deployment center during the street-based count. It is important to note that some city leaders elected not to use a DPSS Site Coordinator as they felt confident with their abilities and available resources to conduct the count. See Table 2 below for a comparison of city engagement.

Table 2: Comparison of City Engagement

City Engagement						
2016	2017					
27 out of 28 cities participated in the Count and had deployment centers in the city or a neighboring city	27 out of 28 cities participated in the Count and had deployment centers in the city or a neighboring city					
Did NOT designate red zones, but rather attempted to engage every city	Did NOT designate red zones, but rather attempted to engage every city					
Included 53 census designated places (unincorporated areas)	Included 53 census designated places (unincorporated areas)					
20% of the deployment centers had an assigned DPSS Site Coordinator	30% of the deployment centers had an assigned DPSS Site Coordinator					

Survey Development

Interview Survey Tools

The survey that was selected for implementation was a slightly modified version of the 2016 Count and Survey tool based on the feedback from volunteers to improve the previous version. The survey was pilot tested to assess whether prospective volunteers knew how to navigate the survey tool comfortably and whether prospective interviewees clearly understood the questions that were asked.

In 2017, an additional interview survey was developed for the Youth Count. The Youth Interview Survey incorporates most of the information included on the traditional PIT Count Survey and additional questions targeted specifically to homeless youth.

A total of 40 people piloted the survey tools including: DPSS Adult Services Division Administration staff, community members, and sheltered homeless individuals. In addition, general survey feedback was given by the RUHS-BH. The version that received the most positive feedback was selected for interviewing homeless individuals during the street-based count and the service-based count.

Observational Survey Tools

The primary goal for the PIT Count was to *interview* each homeless person. However, it was understood interviewing was not feasible under certain circumstances. Thus, an observational survey tool was developed to allow interviewers to record observational data (perceived gender, ethnicity, age, physical description, etc.) for individuals encountered during the street-based count who were suspected to be homeless and were unable or unwilling to be engaged. This observational technique is acceptable according to HUD guidelines³.

³ 2014 Point-In-Time HUD Methodology Guide, p. 52

The following are reasons that volunteers used an observational tool:

- there were barriers to enter the site
- the site was unsafe to enter
- the person refused to participate
- the person was sleeping
- there was a language barrier
- the person was unable to respond (i.e., mental issues, intoxication, etc.)

This observational tool was used during the general PIT street-based count. In 2017, an additional observational survey was developed for the Youth Count. The youth observational survey tool incorporates most of the information included on the traditional PIT Count survey tool along with additional questions targeted specifically toward homeless youth.

Data Entry and Deduplication Method

A Microsoft Access application was created in order to consolidate and store the unsheltered homeless count survey data. Separate applications were created for the street-based interview surveys and street-based observational surveys. Five data entry volunteers entered this unsheltered homeless data into the Microsoft Access application during the month of February.

Since the 2017 PIT Count involved both street-based and service-based counts, a deduplication method was implemented to account for any individuals who may have been interviewed multiple times. The deduplication method for the interview surveys consisted of creating a unique identifier using several fields of information from the survey, such as: first initial, last initial, birth month, birth year, city born, state born, gender, race, and veteran status. The deduplication method for the observational surveys used the following fields to create a unique identifier: reason for observational tool, type of location, age observed, perceived gender, and perceived race. The creation of the unique identifier was conducted in a statistical database program (Microsoft Access), using an algorithm with the identified demographic information to generate a unique ID for each individual counted. This process allowed data analysts to easily identify and eliminate duplicate records.

Table 3 below shows that the total number of street-based (interview and observational tools) and service-based interview surveys returned to DPSS was 1,892 (1,149 interview, 222 youth surveys, 521 observational). During the data entry process, several survey errors were discovered.

Surveys were rejected prior to data entry for the following reasons: (a) the survey was completely blank except for interviewer name and location; (b) the homeless individual indicated that they stayed in a place the night before that was not considered *unsheltered* according to HUD (house, apartment, emergency shelter, motel, etc.); or (c) the homeless individual indicated they had already been surveyed. There were 242 surveys that were rejected prior to being entered into the database. These criteria resulted in a new subtotal of 1,650 surveys. The PIT Count's analytic rationale was to include all

records until there was clarity and certainty that they should be excluded. Once all data was entered and the deduplication method implemented, twelve surveys were rejected as duplicates, resulting in a final sample size of 1,638 individuals. See Table 3 below for the numeric breakdown and filtering process.

Table 3: Breakdown of PIT Count Surveys – Data Cleaning and Deduplication

Total Surveys Returned	Rejected Surveys (Not Entered)	New Sub Total	Rejected Surveys (Duplicates)	Final Sample Size (total number of people)
1,892 (1,149	242 (91 interview,	1650 (1058	12 (8 interview, 4	1638 (1050
interview, 222	140 youth, 11	interview, 82 youth	youth, 0	interview, 78 youth
youth surveys, 521	observational)	surveys, 510	observational)	surveys, 510
observational)		observational)		observational

Since the observational surveys contained less information and therefore fewer fields to use in the deduplication process, it was challenging to rationalize the drop of any *potential* duplicates in this data. For example, if two different volunteers were instructed to record their observations regarding the same scenario, they would likely describe the scenario in two completely different ways. Thus, there would be no certainty that the individuals observed in the scenario were the same individual or different individuals. Therefore, it was decided to include all observational surveys. Throughout the rest of the report, the results will be discussed in terms of interview survey data versus observational survey data. It is important to make this distinction as the interview survey data is based on the respondent's own self-identification, while the observational survey data is based on the volunteer's perceptions.

Extrapolation techniques are not incorporated into this report. The 2017 PIT Count reflects only individuals who were counted (through direct observation or interviewed), rather than estimated based on tents or belongings.

It was not feasible to deduplicate the interview and observational data together because, again, the interview data was based on self-identification while the observational data was based on volunteer perception. Therefore, none of the fields from these two different surveys were identical, making it nearly impossible to deduplicate across survey types.

Four hundred and ninety eight volunteers were recruited through the following methods:

- email blasts
- outreach to faith-based organizations
- local colleges and universities;
- press releases published in several print and online newspapers throughout Riverside County
- ♣ volunteer trainings which ran from January 3, 2017 to January 20, 2017
- printed flyers distributed at various agencies and collaborative meetings

A web-based survey (SurveyMonkey) was used to keep track of volunteer registrations. PIT Count volunteers provided assistance in the following ways:

- Served as enumerators in the street-based count on January 24, 2017, between 5:30 a.m. and 9:30 a.m.
- Helped DPSS identify locations in Riverside County where homeless individuals and families were known to live and congregate
- Donated items to be used in the incentive bags given to homeless individuals who were surveyed

All volunteers were required to complete a 90-minute onsite or online volunteer orientation where they received instructions on how to conduct the count and on important safety guidelines.

Unsheltered Homeless Count

Street-Based Count

The street-based count took place on January 24, 2017, between 5:30 a.m. and 9:30 a.m. A total of volunteers were divided into small groups and deployed across Riverside County to pre-identified locations where homeless people were known to live and/or congregate. Volunteers served as team leaders, lookouts, or counters and met at one of the deployment centers across the county to receive their team assignments, surveys, supplies, and maps.

In 2017, the Riverside County Sheriff law enforcement teams worked with designated crime analysts to produce maps with the known locations of homeless individuals throughout Riverside County. Based on the analysis of the homeless locations identified and the general coverage areas, city and law enforcement leaders were able to estimate the effective number of volunteer count teams needed to address the entire coverage areas.

Service-Based Count

The service-based count took place on January 24, 2017, between 7:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Several weeks prior to the count, DPSS staff compiled a list of agencies that provide services to homeless people throughout Riverside County. The list was compiled using several resources, including:

- a list of service agencies that the DPSS Homeless Programs Unit had on hand
- an internet search
- Riverside County's Continuum of Care

Though efforts were taken to compile a comprehensive list, this list is by no means exhaustive. Once the final list was completed, contact was attempted with each organization. The goal of contacting each organization was to verify the agency name, contact information, operational hours, and to assess each agency's interest level and how many estimated volunteers would be needed. All agencies that operated between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. on Tuesdays were contacted or attempts were made. The remaining agencies were classified into four categories: information unconfirmed; willing to administer surveys with agency staff; willing to have volunteers administer surveys at facility; or not interested in participating.

Behavioral Health Homeless Outreach Count

Riverside University Health System - Behavioral Health Homeless Outreach (RUHS-BH) teams used the departments' Housing Crisis Response teams (16 staff) to assist in the count to canvass non-city (unincorporated) areas throughout Riverside County. RUHS-BH performed their primary unsheltered counts of non-city areas on the day of the Count, and also performed a follow-up sweep during the seven-day period afterward, as allowed by the HUD methodology⁴

The RUHS-BH staff was also encouraged to count and survey homeless clients who were receiving services at Behavioral Health Clinics throughout the county. The coverage they provided to the non-city areas included both (a) reconnaissance prior to the PIT Count to assess if particular areas had homeless people; and (b) surveying the individuals they found during their reconnaissance at a later date (but within the seven-day window following the PIT Count. The Housing Crisis Response teams canvassed the areas to assess the presence of individuals living on the streets or in uninhabitable locations. The reconnaissance efforts began the month prior to the Count; increasing in frequency over the week before the Count; and then continued every day leading up to the Count. If no individuals living on the street were identified in a particular area, RUHS-BH teams returned for one last round of reconnaissance two days prior to the Count date. If RUHS-BH found, or knew of, suspected homeless individuals in a particular area, they returned on the day of the Count (or the seven day period following the Count date) to administer the survey. On the day of the Count, RUHS-BH teams surveyed

⁴A survey of people at various social service locations or other public or private locations to identify people who were unsheltered, but not counted, on the night of the count--either because CoC's did not use a night of the count approach or because unsheltered people were missed on the night of the count. Service-based counts may only be conducted within the seven-day period after the designated count night.

the locations with known homeless and then surveyed the remaining locations over the next seven days, ensuring that every known or encountered homeless individual had been counted and/or surveyed. The deduplication method was implemented to account for any individuals who may have been interviewed multiple times.

Follow-up Count During Seven Day Window

For weeks and days leading up to the PIT Count, there were severe thunderstorms which affected the riverbeds, flood control channels and areas prone to mudslides in which homeless individuals resided. On the day of the PIT Count, many of these locations were vacated due to the severe weather conditions. Subsequently, the PIT methodology was expanded to afford city leaders and law enforcement personnel the opportunity to conduct a follow up Count during the seven day window to count and survey homeless individuals that may have been missed on the PIT Count date. The deduplication method was implemented to account for any individuals who may have been interviewed multiple times.

Youth Count

Beginning in 2017, HUD mandated that all Continua of Care (CoCs) conduct a Youth Point in Time Count. A separate Count is required because youth experiencing homelessness congregate in different locations and at different times than older adults; youth often do not want to be found, and youth do not often think of themselves as being homeless.

The purpose of the Youth Count is to:

- Identify how many youth under age 24 are homeless on a given day in Riverside County;
- Determine the need for youth homeless services; and
- Guide decisions about how to use available resources.

The Youth Count will provide essential data for planning and developing community interventions to meet the goal of preventing and ending homelessness in families, children and youth by the year 2020, as established by the federal Opening Doors strategy.

Although youth experiencing homelessness or at-risk for homelessness were counted, only those that were "literally homeless" according to HUD guidelines are included in the official unsheltered counts within this report (See Appendix E).

The County of Riverside CoC conducted a Youth PIT Count and Survey in partnership with the Department of Public Social Services, Riverside University Health System — Behavioral Health TAY Program, and Operation Safehouse. The street-based and service-based counts took place during the seven-day window between January 24, - January 30, 2017. Partnering youth agencies led their own teams of trained, youth-friendly staff and volunteers to conduct the Youth Count and Survey at various locations throughout Riverside County.

Youth Count Planning Team

California Family Life Center Rubidoux YOC
City of Riverside
DPSS Homeless Programs Unit
Desert AIDS Project
Desert Sands Unified School District
Housing Authority of the County of Riverside
Operation SafeHouse of the Desert
Operation SafeHouse Riverside
Operation SafeHouse Cup of Happy

Operation SafeHouse Transitional Living Prog.
Riverside County Sheriff's Homeless Outreach
Team
RUHS Behavioral Health HHOPE
RUHS Behavioral Health TAY Journey Program
RUHS Behavioral Health Children's Board
Safe Schools Desert Cities
United Way of the Inland Valleys

Sheltered Homeless Count

As required by HUD, the sheltered Count involved counting homeless individuals and families who stayed in emergency shelters and transitional housing on the night of January 23, 2017. The sheltered Count was conducted in accordance with HUD's counting standards and methodology guidance. The Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) data was used to generate the sheltered Count and subpopulation data for projects with one hundred percent of beds participating in HMIS.

In preparation for the 2017 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) and the Point-in-Time Count (PIT), the HMIS Administrators Council, HMIS participating agencies and users were provided with training and resources on data collection processes and requirements. The training emphasized the users' responsibilities for ensuring data quality – accuracy, completeness and timeliness – that included entering entry and exit dates into HMIS prior to the assigned deadline.

For non-HMIS participating agencies and domestic violence shelter providers, a survey form was provided to fill in demographic and household data. This information was used to complete the population reporting requirement. The survey included a definition of terms and detailed instructions to ensure data verification and data quality standards were met.

UNSHELTERED COUNT FINDINGS

Overview

There were a total of 1,638 individuals counted during the 2017 unsheltered homeless count. Table 4 displays the overall data by city or unincorporated area. When presenting any data below, please note that there is a distinction between observational data (based on volunteer perception) and interview data (based on respondent's self-identification), as discussed earlier in the report.

The total column for 2017 represents a summation of the interview and observational data. Both the total count for each city and the respective percentage of the total number of unsheltered homeless people in Riverside County are provided. Table 4 also compares the 2017 city counts to the 2016 city counts. In the final column on the right of the table is the percent change between 2016 and 2017. It should be noted that this comparison does *not* take into account the total sample sizes for each year. The comparative data is presented this way in order to show the raw change in unsheltered homeless counts for each city or unincorporated area from 2016 to 2017.

Table 4: Unsheltered Homeless Count by City and Type of Data

	2017	PIT Count	Unsheltered Totals					
City or Unincorporated Area	Interview	Observational	2016		2017		2016 – 2017 Count Change	2016 – 2017 Percent Change
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
City								
Banning	26	6	25	1.9%	32	2.0%	7	28.0%
Beaumont	14	4	10	0.7%	18	1.1%	8	80.0%
Blythe	31	28	63	4.7%	59	3.6%	-4	-6.3%
Calimesa	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	-
Canyon Lake	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	-
Cathedral City	37	7	27	2.0%	44	2.7%	17	63.0%
Coachella	61	20	59	4.4%	81	4.9%	22	37.3%
Corona	39	17	83	6.1%	56	3.4%	-27	-32.5%
Desert Hot Springs	33	10	28	2.1%	43	2.6%	15	53.6%
Eastvale	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	-
Hemet	65	54	107	7.9%	119	7.3%	12	11.2%
Indian Wells	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	-
Indio	57	32	70	5.2%	89	5.4%	19	27.1%
Jurupa Valley	101	28	113	8.4%	129	7.9%	16	14.2%
La Quinta	1	1	8	0.6%	2	0.1%	-6	-75.0%
Lake Elsinore	53	9	53	3.9%	62	3.8%	9	17.0%
Menifee	10	4	20	1.5%	14	0.9%	-6	-30.0%
Moreno Valley	54	19	61	4.5%	73	4.5%	12	19.7%
Murrieta	5	2	0	0.0%	7	0.4%	7	-
Norco	3	1	12	0.9%	4	0.2%	-8	-66.7%

			Unsheltered Totals					
City or Unincorporated Area	Interview	Observational	20	16	20	017	2016 – 2017 Count Change	2016 – 2017 Percent Change
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent		3 -
City								
Palm Desert	21	7	19	1.4%	28	1.7%	9	47.4%
Palm Springs	90	48	83	6.1%	138	8.4%	55	66.3%
Perris	27	2	65	4.8%	29	1.8%	-36	-55.4%
Rancho Mirage	0	0	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	-3	-100.0%
Riverside	231	158	258	19.1%	389	23.7%	131	50.8%
San Jacinto	23	8	18	1.3%	31	1.9%	13	72.2%
Temecula	67	18	37	2.7%	85	5.2%	48	129.7%
Wildomar	4	2	13	1.0%	6	0.4%	-7	-53.8%
Subtotal	1053	485	1235	91.4%	1538	93.9%	303	24.5%
Unincorporated Area			ī			T		
Anza	0	0	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	-2	-100.0%
Bermuda Dunes	10	0	2	0.1%	10	0.6%	8	400.0%
Cabazon	1	0	6	0.4%	1	0.1%	-5	-83.3%
Cactus City	1	0	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	-
Cherry Valley	1	0	3	0.2%	1	0.1%	-2	-66.7%
Coronita	0	5	0	0.0%	5	0.3%	5	-
Highgrove	1	4	25	1.9%	5	0.3%	-20	-80.0%
Home Gardens	0	1	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	-
Homeland	0	0	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	-2	-100.0%
Idyllwild	8	2	12	0.9%	10	0.6%	-2	-16.7%
Lakeland Village	9	0	14	1.0%	9	0.5%	-5	-35.7%
Mead Valley	12	2	0	0.0%	14	0.9%	14	-
Meadowbrook	0	0	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	-3	-100.0%
Mecca	13	2	21	1.6%	15	0.9%	-6	-28.6%
Mesa Verde	0	0	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	-2	-100.0%
Romoland	4	1	3	0.2%	5	0.3%	2	66.7%
Temescal Valley	0	1	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	-
Thermal	1	0	8	0.6%	1	0.1%	-7	-87.5%
Thousand Palms	12	5	6	0.4%	17	1.0%	11	183.3%
Valle Vista	2	2	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	4	-
White Water	0	0	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	-1	-100.0%
Woodcrest	0	0	6	0.4%	0	0.0%	-6	-100.0%
Subtotal	75	25	116	8.6%	100	6.1%	-16	-13.8%
Grand Total	1128	510	1351	100.0%	1638	100.0%	287	21.2%

^{*}Note: 2017 PIT comprehensive final data. There may be slight variations between the preliminary numbers and the data contained in this report. Only unincorporated communities with a 2016/2017 unsheltered count greater than zero are included.

Reasons Contributing to Homelessness

In 2017, at the recommendation of city officials and representatives from the Riverside County Continuum of Care (CoC), a new question was added to the interview survey tool in order capture the primary reasons for individuals becoming homeless within Riverside County. Among the unsheltered homeless population, 33% selected unemployment as a primary reason for homelessness (n = 347); 27% selected lack of income for housing as a primary reason for homelessness (n = 288); 4% selected fleeing domestic violence as a primary reason (n = 44); 3% selected discharge from medical institution as a primary reason (n = 31); 8% selected discharged from jail or prison as a primary reason (n = 79); 8% selected mental illness as a primary reason (n = 84); 7% selected runaway and/or left home as a primary reason (n = 69). See Table 5 below for full details on these unsheltered subpopulations.

Table 5: 2017 Primary Reasons for Becoming Homeless

Primary Reasons for Becoming Homeless	Count	Percentage %
Unemployment	347	33%
Lack of income for housing	288	27%
Fleeing domestic violence	44	4%
Discharged from medical institution	31	3%
Discharged from jail or prison	79	8%
Mental Illness	84	8%
Runaway/left home	69	7%
Other	368	35%

Gender

Of the 1,638 unsheltered homeless people, a majority (69%) of these individuals were men (n = 1123) and (27%) of these individuals were female (n = 445). See Table 6 below for full details. Table 6 also compares the 2016 to the 2017 gender subpopulation counts. In the final column on the right of the table is the percent change between 2016 and 2017. It should be noted that this comparison does not take into account the total sample sizes for each year. The comparative data is presented this way in order to show the raw change in unsheltered homeless gender counts from 2016 to 2017.

2017 General PIT Count 2016-2017 2016-2017 **Unsheltered Totals** 2016 2017 Count Percent Gender Change Difference **Interview Observational** Count Percent **Count Percent** Female 333 112 389 28.79% 445 27.17% 56 14.40% Male 777 346 940 69.58% 1123 68.56% 183 19.47% Transgender 8 0 1 0.07% 8 0.49% 700.00% Don't Know 2 45 13 0.96% 47 2.87% 34 261.54% or Refused 7 (blank) 8 8 7 0.59% 15 0.92% 87.50% 1128 510 1351 1638 287

Table 6: 2016-2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Comparison – Breakdown of Gender

^{*}Note: Interview data is based on respondent's self-identification while observational data is based on volunteer perception.




Figure 1: 2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count – Breakdown of Gender

Race and Ethnicity

Total

A majority (57%) of the unsheltered homeless population either identified or were observed as White (n = 928); (11%) either identified or were observed as African American (n=186); (5%) either identified or were observed as American Indian or Alaskan Native (n=89); (1%) either identified or were observed as Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander (n=20); (1%) either identified or were observed as Asian (n=10); (3%) either identified or were observed as Multiple Races (n=50); (See Table 7 below). Approximately (28%) of the population either identified as or were observed as Hispanic or Latino (n=465) (See Table 8 below). Ethnicity was assessed in a separate question than race as HUD considers Hispanic to be an ethnicity, not a race. See Table 7 and 8 for full details regarding the 2016 to the 2017 race and ethnicity subpopulation comparison. In the final column on the right of the table is the percent change between 2016 and 2017. It should be noted that this comparison does not take into account the total sample sizes for each year. The comparative data is presented this way in order to show the raw change in

Table 7: 2016-2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Comparison – Breakdown of Race

unsheltered homeless race counts from 2016 to 2017.

Race	2017	PIT Count		Unshelter	ed Tota	ls	2016-2017						
			2	016	20	017	Count	Percentage					
	Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Change	Change					
American Indian or Alaska Native	82	7	87	6.44%	89	5.43%	2	2.30%					
Asian	8	2	10	0.74%	10	0.61%	0	0.00%					
Black or African American	131	55	160	11.84%	186	11.36%	26	16.25%					
Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	19	1	12	0.89%	20	1.22%	8	66.67%					
White	710	218	822	60.84%	928	56.65%	106	12.90%					
Multiple Races	50	0	55	4.07%	50	3.05%	-5	-9.09%					
Don't Know or Refused	107 154		258	19.10%	261	15.93%	3	1.16%					
(blank)	129	72	39	2.89%	201	12.27%	162	415.38%					

Page | 29


2016 - 2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count -**Breakdown of Race** 1000 800 600 400 **2016** 200 **2017** (blank) American Asian Black or Native White Multiple Don't Indian or African Hawaiian, Races Know or Alaska Pacific Refused American Native Islander

Figure 2: 2016-2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count - Breakdown of Race

Table 8: 2016-2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Comparison – Breakdown of Ethnicity

	2017 Gen	eral PIT Count		Unshelter	ed Tota	ls	2016-2017					
Ethnicity	Interview	Observational	20	016	2	017	Count	Percent				
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Change	Change				
Hispanic or Latino	360	105	372	20%	465	28%	25%	45%				
Not Hispanic or												
Latino	723	247	930	49%	970	59%	4%	21%				
Blank	45	158	49	3%	203	12%	314%	381%				
Total	1128	510	1351	71%	1638	100%	21%	41%				

Figure 3: 2016-2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count – Breakdown of Ethnicity



Age

The majority of the 2017 unsheltered homeless population was between the ages of 50-61 (n = 403) and 40-49 (n = 318). See Table 9 for full details regarding age. Table 9 also compares the 2016 to the 2017 age subpopulation counts. In the final column on the right of the table is the percent change between 2016 and 2017. It should be noted that this comparison does not take into account the total sample sizes for each year. The comparative data is presented this way in order to show the raw change in unsheltered homeless age counts from 2016 to 2017.

Table 9: 2016-2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Comparison – Breakdown of Age

Age	2017	PIT Count		Unshelte	red Tota	ls	2016-2017				
Range	Interview	Observational	20	016	20	017	Count Change	Percentage Difference			
	interview	Observational	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Change	Difference			
0-5	0	0	1	0.07%	0	0.00%	-1	-100.00%			
17 or under	11	4	13	0.96%	15	0.92%	2	15.38%			
18-24	107	71	82	6.07%	178	10.87%	96	117.07%			
25-29	91	112	101	7.48%	203	12.39%	102	100.99%			
30-39	203	92	256	18.95%	295	18.01%	39	15.23%			
40-49	250	68	305	22.58%	318	19.41%	13	4.26%			
50-61	340	63	396	29.31%	403	24.60%	7	1.77%			
62-69	70	34	94	6.96%	104	6.35%	10	10.64%			
70-79	9	4	22	1.63%	13	0.79%	-9	-40.91%			
80+	6	0	4	0.30%	6	0.37%	2	50.00%			
(blank)	41	62	78	5.77%	103	6.29%	25	32.05%			
Total	1128	510	1351	-	1638	-	287	-			




Figure 4: 2016-2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count – Breakdown of Age

Veterans

Most of the unsheltered homeless individuals were not veterans. Table 10 compares the 2016 to the 2017 veteran and chronically homeless veterans that were counted.

Table 10: 2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count - Veterans

	20	016	2	017	20	16-2017
Veterans	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count Change	Percentage Difference
Unsheltered Homeless Veterans	100	7.40%	91	5.56%	-9	-9.00%
Unsheltered Chronically Homeless Veterans	36	2.66%	37	2.26%	1	2.78%

Youth

Among the unsheltered homeless population, 12% were considered homeless youth under the age of 25 (n = 193) according to HUD's "literally homeless" definition. Unaccompanied homeless youth must not be in the physical custody of a parent or guardian. Table 11 provides a breakdown of these unsheltered youth subpopulations.

Table 11: 2017 Unsheltered Youth Count - Age 24 and Under

	20)16	2	017	201	.6-2017
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count Change	Percentage Difference
Unaccompanied Minor Youth (17 and Under)	1	0.07%	11	0.67%	10	1000.00%
Unaccompanied Transitional Age Youth (24 and Under)	81	6.06%	171	10.43%	90	111.11%
Total Minor Youth (17 and Under)	13	0.96%	15	1.00%	2	15.38%
Total Transitional Age Youth (24 and Under)	82	6.06%	178	10.87%	96	117.07%

^{*}Note: This information was obtained from the interview and observational surveys that meet the HUD definition of homeless youth. Additional details regarding youth population will be published in a separate Youth Count Addendum.

Subpopulations

Among the unsheltered homeless population, 30% were considered chronically homeless (n = 341); 18% were recently released from jail or prison (n = 202); and 25% were victims of domestic violence (n = 282). See Table 12 below for full details on these unsheltered subpopulations. Table 12 also compares the 2016 to the 2017 subpopulation counts. In the final column on the right of the table is the percent change between 2016 and 2017. It should be noted that this comparison does not take into account the total sample sizes for each year. The comparative data is presented this way in order to show the raw change in unsheltered homeless subpopulation counts from 2016 to 2017.

Table 12: 2016-2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Comparison – Breakdown of Subpopulations

	2	016	2	017	2016-2017					
Subpopulation	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count Change	Percent Change				
Chronically Homeless	299	25.95%	341	30.23%	42	14.05%				
Families with Children	8	0.69%	3	0.29%	-5	-62.50%				
Veterans	100	8.68%	91	8.07%	-9	-9.00%				
Youth 24 or younger	95	8.25%	193	17.11%	98	103.16%				
Alcohol Use	273	23.70%	291	25.80%	18	6.59%				
Drug Use	341	29.60%	461	40.87%	120	35.19%				
PTSD	200	17.36%	268	25.52%	68	34.00%				
Mental Health Conditions	275	23.87%	309	27.39%	34	12.36%				
Physical Disability	326	28.30%	362	34.48%	36	11.04%				
Developmental Disability	128	11.11%	135	11.97%	7	5.47%				
Brain Injury	201	17.45%	212	20.19%	11	5.47%				
Victim of Domestic Violence	265	23.00%	282	25.00%	17	6.42%				
AIDS or HIV	11	0.95%	21	1.86%	10	90.91%				
Jail (within 12 months)	223	19.36%	202	17.91%	-21	-9.42%				

*Note: All subpopulations with the exception of Youth 24 or younger are obtained from the interview surveys (not observational surveys). Youth 24 or younger count is obtained from interview and observational surveys. Chronically homeless was defined according to HUD's definition if the person had been living in emergency shelter and/or on the streets (a) for the past year or more or (b) four or more times during the last three years and who may have a disability (HUD HEARTH Act Interim Rule, pgs. 53-54). Jail was defined as someone who was released from jail or prison within the past 12 months. An individual could potentially be categorized into multiple or all subpopulations.

Among the unsheltered homeless population, 26% reported using alcohol (n = 291), 41% reported using drugs (n = 461), 26% reported suffering from Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) (n = 268), 27% reported having a mental health condition (n = 309), 34% reported having a physical disability (n = 362), 12% reported having a developmental disability (n = 135), and 20% reported suffering from a brain injury (n = 212). Among those individuals who reported experiencing these situations, almost half of them indicated that PTSD (51%), physical disabilities (50%), mental health conditions (44%), and developmental disabilities (43%) prevented them from obtaining stable housing or a steady job. It is important to note that an individual could potentially be categorized into multiple or all subpopulations. Table 13 also compares the 2016 to the 2017 subpopulation counts.

Table 13: 2016-2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Comparison – Breakdown of Disabling Conditions

Disabling Conditions	whos	oer of restated the	ey have		Number of respondents who stated it prevents them from obtaining housing or work.										
	20	016	20)17	2	2016	2	017							
Subpopulation	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent							
Alcohol Use	273	26.20%	291	25.80%	61	5.90%	47	4.20%							
Drug Use	341	32.70%	461	40.87%	90	8.60%	109	9.70%							
PTSD	200	19.20%	268	25.52%	106	10.20%	136	12.10%							
Mental Health Conditions	275	275	275	275	275	275	26.40%	309	27.39%	144	13.80%	137	12.10%		
Physical Disability	326	31.30%	362	34.48%	190	18.20%	181	16.00%							
Developmental Disability	128	12.30%	135	11.97%	59	5.70%	58	5.10%							
Brain Injury	201	19.30%	212	20.19%	82	7.90%	72	6.40%							

Table 14 below displays subpopulation data by city versus unincorporated area. This table provides a highlight of subpopulation information. A count for each city is provided, as well as its corresponding percentage of the total unsheltered sample that had subpopulation data available (n = 1,128). It is important to note that subpopulation information was not collected on the observational tool. Additional subpopulation information for the overall total amount of unsheltered homeless can be found in Table 12.

Table 14: Unsheltered Homeless Count – Subpopulation Data by City versus Unincorporated Area

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count - Subpopulation Data by City versus Unincorporated Area

City or Unincorporated Area	Unshe Hom Indiv	otal eltered neless iduals	Hom	nically eless	Chil	es with dren		erans	Youth	nger)	Alcohol Use Drug Use		PT		Mental Health Conditions		Physical Disability		Developmental Disability		Brain Injury		Dom Viol	ms of estic ence	estic AIDS nce		mor	Jail (within 12 months)		
City	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
City	22	2.00/	40	1.1%	-	0.0%		0.4%		0.1%		4.00/		1.0%	7	0.7%	40	4.40/	9	0.00/		0.3%	_	0.6%		0.7%		0.40/	5	0.50/
Banning	32	2.0%	12 2	0.2%	0		4	0.4%	0	0.1%	11	1.0%	11 2		/	0.7%	12	1.1% 0.1%	9	0.8%	3	0.3%	6	0.6%	8		0	0.1%	3	0.5%
Beaumont Blythe	18 59	3.6%	11	1.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.0%	4	0.0%	7	0.2%	11	0.2% 1.0%	6	0.1%	13	1.2%	12	0.1%	6	0.0%	7	0.0%	12	0.1%	0	0.0%	5	0.5%
Calimesa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.4%	0	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.5%	,	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.5%
Cannon Lake	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cathedral City	44	2.7%	15	1.3%	0	0.0%	5	0.0%	3	0.0%	9	0.0%	24	2.1%	7	0.0%	9	0.0%	6	0.5%	6	0.0%	6	0.6%	7	0.6%	2	0.0%	18	1.7%
Coachella	81	4.9%	20	1.8%	0	0.0%	5	0.4%	1	0.1%	11	1.0%	12	1.1%	10	1.0%	11	1.0%	24	2.1%	4	0.4%	8	0.8%	11	1.0%	1	0.1%	6	0.6%
Corona	56	3.4%	12	1.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	6	0.4%	6	0.5%	16	1.4%	8	0.8%	11	1.0%	15	1.3%	9	0.4%	9	0.9%	7	0.6%	2	0.2%	8	0.8%
Desert Hot Springs	43	2.6%	5	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	6	0.4%	7	0.6%	11	1.0%	15	1.4%	6	0.5%	13	1.2%	2	0.2%	11	1.0%	17	1.5%	0	0.0%	5	0.5%
Eastvale	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hemet	119	7.3%	15	1.3%	0	0.0%	8	0.7%	21	1.3%	26	2.3%	27	2.4%	20	1.9%	19	1.7%	15	1.3%	4	0.4%	17	1.6%	15	1.3%	0	0.0%	13	1.2%
Indian Wells	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Indio	89	5.4%	26	2.3%	0	0.0%	5	0.4%	7	0.4%	13	1.2%	29	2.6%	16	1.5%	10	0.9%	19	1.7%	8	0.7%	11	1.0%	17	1.5%	1	0.1%	9	0.9%
Jurupa Valley	129	7.9%	30	2.7%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	6	0.4%	25	2.2%	47	4.2%	27	2.6%	27	2.4%	27	2.4%	6	0.5%	21	2.0%	20	1.8%	1	0.1%	14	1.3%
La Quinta	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lake Elsinore	62	3.8%	15	1.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	15	1.3%	24	2.1%	13	1.2%	15	1.3%	17	1.5%	8	0.7%	13	1.2%	10	0.9%	1	0.1%	16	1.5%
Menifee	14	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.2%	2	0.2%	5	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	4	0.4%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	4	0.4%
Moreno Valley	73	4.5%	14	1.2%	0	0.0%	3	0.3%	18	1.1%	16	1.4%	22	2.0%	11	1.0%	20	1.8%	15	1.3%	6	0.5%	7	0.7%	13	1.2%	5	0.4%	10	1.0%
Murrieta	7	0.4%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	3	0.3%	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	3	0.3%
Norco	4	0.2%	3	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	3	0.3%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Palm Desert	28	1.7%	7	0.6%	0	0.0%	3	0.3%	3	0.2%	7	0.6%	9	0.8%	4	0.4%	7	0.6%	14	1.2%	7	0.6%	5	0.5%	7	0.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Palm Springs	138	8.4%	20	1.8%	1	0.1%	12	1.1%	11	0.7%	19	1.7%	29	2.6%	29	2.8%	25	2.2%	35	3.1%	9	0.8%	20	1.9%	26	2.3%	4	0.4%	13	1.2%
Perris	29	1.8%	5	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	4	0.4%	9	0.8%	9	0.9%	8	0.7%	10	0.9%	5	0.4%	8	0.8%	5	0.4%	0	0.0%	3	0.3%
Rancho Mirage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Riverside	389	23.7%	73	6.5%	0	0.0%	21	1.9%	77	4.7%	66	5.9%	107	9.5%	48	4.6%	83	7.4%	75	6.6%	37	3.3%	42	4.0%	65	5.8%	3	0.3%	32	3.0%
San Jacinto	31	1.9%	8	0.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	5	0.3%	1	0.1%	12	1.1%	4	0.4%	5	0.4%	3	0.3%	5	0.4%	5	0.5%	5	0.4%	0	0.0%	5	0.5%
Temecula	85	5.2%	21	1.9%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	9	0.5%	17	1.5%	25	2.2%	20	1.9%	10	0.9%	18	1.6%	3	0.3%	8	0.8%	17	1.5%	0	0.0%	19	1.8%
Wildomar	6	0.4%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sub Total	1538	93.9%	316	28.0%	1	0.1%	86	7.6%	187	11.4%	271	24.0%	438	38.8%	257	24.5%	296	26.2%	335	29.7%	130	11.5%	206	19.6%	267	23.7%	21	1.9%	191	18.2%

^{*}Note: 2017 PIT comprehensive data. Unsheltered count and Youth(24 or younger) field calculated on total collected (1638). Families with Children, PTSD and Brain Injury field are unique to the general PIT questionnaire; percent of total calculated based on persons interviewed (1050). All remaining fields percentage calculated on total persons interviewed (1128).

Table 14: 2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count – Subpopulation Data by City versus Unincorporated Area (Continued)

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count - Subpopulation Data by City versus Unincorporated Area

City on University of	To Unshe	tal Itered	Chro	nically	Famili	Veterans Alcohol Use Drug Use PTSD		Mental Health Physical Developmental			pmental	Proin	Iniury	Victims of		AIDS or HIV		Jail (within 12												
City or Unincorporated Area	Hom- Indivi		Hom	eless	Chil	ldren			you	nger)								ditions		bility	Disa	bility			Violence				months)	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percen
Unincorporated Area														1																
Albarbill	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alberhill Anza	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Belltown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bermuda Dunes	10	0.6%	6	0.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	5	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.3%
Cactus City	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cabazon	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cherry Valley	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Chiriaco Summit	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Coronita	5	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Crestmore Heights	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Desert Beach	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Desert Center	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Desert Edge	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Desert Palms	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
East Blythe	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eagle Mountain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eagle Valley	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
East Hemet	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
El Cerrito	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
El Sobrante French Vallev	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garnet	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Good Hope	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Green Acres	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Highgrove	5	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Home Gardens	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Homeland	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Idyllwild	10	0.6%	3	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	3	0.3%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Indio Hills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lake Mathews	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lake Riverside	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lake Tamarisk	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lakeland Village	9	0.5%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lakeview	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lost Lake	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
March ARB Mead Valley	14	0.0%	7	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	1	0.0%	7	0.0%	6	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	5	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Meadowbrook	0	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.1%	0	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.4%	0	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.1%	0	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.3%
Mecca	15	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	6	0.5%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%
Mesa Verde	0	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.2%
Mountain Center	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
North Shore	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nuevo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oasis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pine Cove	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pinyon Pines	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rancho Capistrano	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ripley	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Romoland	5	0.3%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	4	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sky Valley	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Temescal Valley	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Thermal Thousand Palms	17	0.1%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.0%	1	0.0%	3	0.0%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Valle Vista	4	0.2%	3	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.3%	0	0.1%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	2	0.4%	0	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.2%
Vista Santa Rosa	0	0.2%	0	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.1%	0	0.1%	0	0.1%	0	0.1%	0	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Warm Springs	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Whitewater	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Winchester	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodcrest	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sub Total	100	6.1%	25	2.2%	2	0.2%	5	0.4%	6	0.4%	20	1.8%	23	2.0%	11	1.0%	13	1.2%	27	2.4%	5	0.4%	6	0.6%	15	1.3%	0	0.0%	11	1.0%
Grand Total	1638	100.0%	341	30.2%	3	0.3%	91	8.1%	193	11.8%	291	25.8%	461	40.9%	268	25.5%	309	27.4%	362	32.1%	135	12.0%	212	20.2%	282	25.0%	21	1.9%	202	19.2%

^{*}Note: 2017 PIT comprehensive data. Unsheltered count and Youth(24 or younger) includes total interview and observational collected data; percent of total unsheltered field and Youth(24 or younger) includes total interview and observational collected data; percent of total unsheltered field and Youth(24 or younger) field calculated on total collected (1638). Families with Children, PTSD and Brain Injury field are unique to the general PIT questionnaire; percent of total calculated based on persons interviewed (1050). All remaining fields percentage calculated on total persons interviewed (1128).

SHELTERED COUNT FINDINGS



Figure 5: 2017 Shelter Total Count Breakdown

A total of 768 homeless individuals were counted during the sheltered PIT Count. These individuals stayed in emergency shelters (including domestic violence shelters and hotel/motel vouchers) and transitional housing. This represents a 5.7% *decrease* from the 2016 sheltered count (n = 814). See Table 15 below regarding the 2016 to the 2017 sheltered subpopulation comparison. In the final column on the right of the table is the percent change between 2016 and 2017. The comparative data is presented this way in order to show the raw change in unsheltered homeless race counts from 2016 to 2017.

Table 15: Sheltered Homeless Count - Subpopulation Data

	2	2016		2017	2016-2017	
Subpopulation	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count Change	Percentage Change
Chronically Homeless Individuals	70	8.60%	77	10.03%	7	10.00%
HIV Positive/AIDS	6	0.74%	10	1.30%	4	66.70%
Mental Health Problems	209	25.68%	206	26.82%	-3	-1.40%
Substance Users (Alcohol or Drug Abuse)	166	20.39%	128	16.67%	-38	-22.90%
Minor Youth (under 18)	166	20.39%	165	21.48%	-1	-0.60%
Accompanied Minor Youth (under 18)	150	18.43%	146	19.01%	-4	-2.70%
Unaccompanied Minor Youth (under 18)	16	1.97%	19	2.47%	3	18.80%
Youth (18-24)	72	8.85%	67	8.72%	-5	-6.90%
Veterans	111	13.64%	57	7.42%	-54	-48.60%
Chronically Homeless Veterans	8	0.98%	8	0.00%	0	-100.00%
Victims of Domestic Violence	108	13.27%	115	14.97%	7	6.50%


CONCLUSION

The 2017 Point-In-Time Homeless Count unsheltered methodology consisted of a street-based count, and a service-based count. The sheltered count methodology consisted of data extracted from the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) to count sheltered homeless individuals on the night of January 23, 2017. A total of 1,638 unsheltered homeless individuals and a preliminary sheltered count of 768 (total homeless = 2,406) were identified in Riverside County during the 2017 Point-In-Time Homeless Count on January 24, 2017. In contrast, during the 2016 PIT Count, 1,351 unsheltered and 814 sheltered homeless individuals (total homeless = 2,165) were identified. Therefore, there was an 11% increase in the overall total number of homeless people counted from 2016 to 2017 (21.2% increase in unsheltered homeless; 5.7% decrease in sheltered homeless). Among the 1,638 unsheltered individuals counted in 2017, 1,128 were interviewed and 510 were observed. The increase in the overall unsheltered 2017 Point-in-Time (PIT) Count reflects an actual increase in our homeless population and a countywide commitment to conducting a more collaborative and rigorous count.

The increase in the size of the general homeless population and most subpopulations may obscure the fact that many individuals' lives have been changed for the better through Riverside County's homeless initiatives. It is important to consider the results of the 2017 Count within the context of local efforts to move individuals and families out of homelessness through the provision of housing and supportive services. Through innovative and effective housing programs and services, Riverside County remains committed to moving persons experiencing homelessness into permanent housing.

The completion of the 2017 Homeless Point-in-Time Count provides required data for federal funding for the Riverside County Continuum of Care (CoC). The data presented in the 2017 Homeless Point-in-Time Count and Survey report will continue to be used by the CoC planning bodies and the City and County of Riverside and other organizations to inform additional outreach, service planning, and policy decision-making over the next year as they continue to address homelessness.





CHALLENGES, LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Street Based Count

Riverside County was under a severe thunderstorm warning by the National Weather Service in the weeks and days leading up to the PIT Count. As the majority of Riverside County's unsheltered homeless population resides in unsheltered settings, we partnered with first responders to raise awareness for the PIT Count and to promote the outreach services for homeless veterans, youth and families taking place on the day of the Count. This message was layered onto an existing safety advisory initiated by first responders to warn homeless individuals of the dangers of residing in riverbeds, flood control channels and areas prone to mudslides during thunderstorms. This countywide effort between partnering county agencies helped to:

- Raise awareness and educate the homeless population of the benefits of participating in the Count;
- Educate the most service resistant individuals residing in hard to reach areas; and
- Reinforce common purpose to bring homeless individuals out of the shadows and promote a common message not to fear law enforcement personnel escorting PIT Count volunteers into encampments.

Homeless individuals that heeded the law enforcement/emergency management warning either sought shelter in drier areas or felt safe to participate in the survey, thus increasing the number of individuals surveyed during the entire PIT Count.

Increase in the Number Observational Surveys

During the 2017 PIT Count, there was a 65% (201) increase in the number of observational surveys utilized compared to 2016. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) makes provisions for using an observational survey instead of an interview survey in the following situations:

- PIT Count volunteer is unable to enter the location to conduct a survey.
- PIT Count volunteer cannot conduct a survey (person refused to answer questions, language or other issues).
- PIT Count volunteer does not wish to disturb people sleeping.

However, due to the limited amount of information collected on the observational survey and the fact that it includes the volunteer's perspective as opposed to the homeless individual's response, it makes the deduplication process challenging. Even though every effort is made to remove duplicates, there is no guarantee that some of the individuals observed may or may not have been interviewed at another time during the PIT Count. The PIT Count Planning Team recommends placing a greater emphasis on using the interview survey instead of the observational surveys as much as possible.

Service-Based Count

Several challenges were encountered with the service-based count. First, the list of service agencies was not comprehensive because this task was initiated in late December and thus not enough time was permitted to create an exhaustive list. Significant time and staff resources are needed to create such a comprehensive list. The next PIT Count Planning Team should consider eliciting assistance from DPSS Continuum of Care community partners in researching and creating a more comprehensive list of homeless service agencies in Riverside County. Additionally, a more thorough outreach to agencies well in advance of the PIT Count should be conducted.

Youth Count

The PIT Count Planning Team, and participating youth partner agencies lacked adequate resources to conduct a separate Youth Count to cover the entire geography within Riverside County. Unaccompanied homeless youth are often difficult to find because they tend to: (a) congregate at different times and places than older adults, (b) refuse (or are unaware of) social service programs and agencies, and (c) do not want to be found as they may be fleeing abuse or the foster care system.

Although a separate Youth Count was conducted in 2017, the PIT Count Planning Team met with youth service agencies to identify locations of homeless youth. For future Youth Counts, the PIT Count Planning Team recommends early and ongoing collaboration and planning with youth service agencies to facilitate the planning and implementation of a more comprehensive Youth PIT Count.

Shelter Count

The sheltered count primarily consisted of extracting data from the HMIS database. However, not all shelters participate in HMIS (such as, providers for victims of domestic violence and hotel/motel vouchers). Some non-HMIS participating agencies do not use a database system to track their data. Instead, they rely on daily written logs and sign-in-sheets to provide the count demographics and household information. Additionally, there have been challenges in collecting the required information from the several non-HMIS agencies in a timely manner. The PIT Count Planning Team recommends that non-HMIS participating agencies continue to be encouraged to utilize the HMIS (except for the Domestic Violence agencies) database in order to collect the required information in a timely manner.

Appendix A: Survey Instruments

RIVERSIDE CO	DUNTY CoC	Unsheltere	d Street Count Form fo	or Nig	ht of Count	Survey Too		JAN. 24, 2017
Location of					City	/	Zip	Code
(street intersecti	on/specific location)							(Zip Code is required)
Interviewer	Name					Time:	AM/	'PM
	OI	nterview Sur	vey Obser	vatio	onal Survey	(Complete blu	e section on bac	:k)
USE ONE FOR	M PER INDIVIDUA	I OR FAMILY	INTERVIEW:	9.	The state of the s	ur race? Yo	u can select	one or more races.
The second second in the late of the	v: Where did you a				[READ CATE		u cum senect	one or more ruces.
Mark	1 to 13						PERSON #	1 2 3 4 5
	et or sidewalk	5 Vehicle (car,			American	Indian or Ala	askan Native	00000
	ndoned building er bridge/overpass	6 Bus, train sta	ation, airport utdoor encampment		RI	ack or Africa	Asian an American	
	(Specify)	8 Other (Spec					cific Islander	8 8 8 8 8
							White	ŏŏŏŏŏ
9 Eme	ergency shelter	12 House or ap	artment		Don't K	now/Refuse	d to Answer	00000
	nsitional housing tel/hotel	(13) Jail, hospita	l, treatment program	10.	Why did y	ou become	homeless? Y	ou can select one or
	Y OF THESE OPTIONS A	RE SELECTED, STO	OP THE SURVEY**		72		CATEGORIES]	
	20 14 15 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14						PERSON #	1 2 3 4 5
			ese same questions		Lac		employment for housing	
AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	here you stayed la:	-					stic violence	
	IF YES, STO	OP THE SURVE	YSTOP				al institution	
100 NO 100 NOW			nildren are there in		Disch		iail or prison	00000
			ocation with you last				lental illness	00000
night?						Runawa	y/left home Other	20000
Adults (25	+): Adults (18-2	4): Childr	en (17 or under):				Other	00000
4 A) What	are your initials [a	nd other neor	ale in your family	11.				U.S Armed Forces?
	est to youngest]?		,,,		Person 1: Person 2:	○ YES ○ YES	○ NO	
B) What	is your relationsh	ip with persor	2 through 5?		Person 3:	YES	ONO	
	Mark 1 to 5 1 Spouse	(2) Child	(3) Other Family	,	Person 4:	○YES	ŎNO	
_	4 Non-Married Part	O		J	Person 5:	YES	○ NO	
Person	4A. First/Last		your (Person 1's)	12.	What is th	e longest tir	ne you've be	en <u>continuously</u>
Person 1:	Initials	relation	ship to Persons 2 – 5 Self		homeless?			
Person 2:		1) (2)	3 4 5		Only include		12 months	and/or on the streets. 12 or more months
Person 3:		1 2	3 4 5		Person 1:			0
Person 4:		1 2	3 4 5		Person 2:	5	?	Q
Person 5:		1 2	3 4 5		Person 3: Person 4:	2	3	Š
5 What is th	e month and year	of your hirthd	ay and other family		Person 5:	Č	5	Ŏ
members?	,	,	-,,					
	Mo	nth	Year	13.		11 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1) 1 (1)		u been homeless
Person 1:					(stayed in	1 time	2-3 times	s) in the <u>past 3 years</u> ? 4 or more times
Person 2: Person 3:	-				Person 1:	0	0	0
Person 4:	-				Person 2:	0	0	0
Person 5:					Person 3: Person 4:	8	8	8
6. What CIT	Y and STATE were	vou born in?			Person 5:	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
o. What Cir	I and STATE Were	you born iii:		14	In total ho	w long hav	o vou boon h	omeless in the past 3
Person 1:	Ci	ty	State					helters and/or on the
Person 1: Person 2:	-				streets.			
Person 3:					Person 1:	Less than	12 months	12 or more months
Person 4:					Person 2:		5	ŏ
Person 5:					Person 3:			Ō
Read: The next	t few guestions may se	em fairly obvious	, but we do not want to		Person 4: Person 5:	5	?	8
assume anythir	ng. We want to know h	The state of the s				C)	O
1923 1 600	Il response options.	_		15.				ou released from jail
	male (M), f emale (or prison a Person 1:	rter serving	a court-orde	ered sentence?
100000000000000000000000000000000000000			entify as M,F, or TG		Person 2:	YES	○NO	
Person 3:	OM OF OT		entify as M,F, or TG		Person 3:	YES	ONO	
	OM OF OT	-	entify as M,F, or TG		Person 4: Person 5:	○ YES ○ YES	○ NO	
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	OM OF OT		entify as M,F, or TG					of recentancine and
220 23 200	Hispanic or Latino					5000		of resentencing and position 47 (Reduced
Person 1: Person 2:	9)NO)NO			Penalties I	50.00 Table 5		
Person 3:		ONO			Person 1:	○YES	○ NO	
Person 4:	YES	ONO			Person 2:	O YES	ONO	
Person 5:	YES (ON			Person 3: Person 4:	○ YES ○ YES	○ NO	
					Person 5:	OYES	ONO	

READ: The next few questions are private and sensitive in nature. I apologize for the sensitive nature. These questions are not meant to make you feel uncomfortable, but rather are simply part of the survey. YOUR RESPONSES ARE VOLUNTARY.

16. If the person is 18 or older: Please tell me whether any of these situations apply to you: PERSON #3 PERSON #4 PERSON #5 PERSON #1 PERSON #2 a. Do you drink alcohol frequently or for long ○Yes ○No Yes \ No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No periods of time? If YES, does it keep you from holding a job or living in ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No stable housing? b. Do you use drugs frequently or for long periods of ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No time? [This includes prescription drugs that were not prescribed for you.] If YES, does it keep you from holding a job or living in ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No OYes ONo OYes ONo stable housing? c. Do you have Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder or ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No PTSD? [PTSD is a condition that can occur in people who have seen or had life-threatening events such as natural disasters, serious accidents, war, or personal violence.] If YES, does it keep you from holding a job or living in ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No stable housing? d. Do you have any serious mental health ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No conditions? If YES, does it keep you from holding a job or living in ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No stable housing? e. Do you have a physical disability? ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No If YES, does it keep you from holding a job or living in ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No stable housing? f. Do you have a developmental disability? ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No If YES, does it keep you from holding a job or living in ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No stable housing? ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No Yes No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No g. Have you ever had a serious injury to your brain? If YES, does it keep you from holding a job or living in ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No stable housing? h. Have you ever been a victim of domestic violence Yes No ○Yes ○No ○Yes ○No or intimate partner violence?

If Y	(ES, when was the most recent incident (mm/yy)?	/	_	/	/	/			_/
i.	Do you have AIDS or an HIV-related illness?	○ Yes ○ No	0	Yes \(\cap \text{No} \)	○ Yes ○ No	○Yes(○No	O Y	es ONo
j.	Do you receive disability benefits? [For example, Social Security Income (SSI), SS Disability, or Veteran's Disability Benefits]	○ Yes ○ No	0	Yes O No	○ Yes ○ No	○ Yes(○No	○ Ye	es (No
		DBSERVATION	IAL	SURVEY					
US	E ONE FORM PER INDIVIDUAL OR FAMILY UNIT.		4.	Is the person	on male or femal	e?			
1.	Please indicate why you are using the observation	onal tool:		Person 1:	OM OF O	Oon't know	1		
	CHECK ONE PER PERSON 1 2	3 4 5		Person 2:	OM OF O	Oon't know	1		
	Barriers to enter site ()			Person 3:		Oon't know			
	Site was unsafe to enter () (000		Person 4:	O O .	Oon't know			
	Person refused to participate () (0 0 0		Person 5:	OM OF O	Oon't know	1		
	Person was sleeping () (0 0	_	Late					
	Language barrier () (o o o	5.	Person 1:	on Hispanic or La	NO	ODer	n't knov	
	Unable to respond (i.e., mental			Person 2:		NO	~	t know	
	issues, intoxication)			Person 3:		NO		i't know	
				Person 4:		NO		't know	
	STOP			Person 5:		NO	1	't know	
++	F ONE OF THE ABOVE OPTIONS IS NOT SELECTED, STOP	THIS SURVEY AND							
	COMPLETE THE INTERVIEW SURVEY TOOL		6.	What is the	e person's race?	7	elect or	ne or m	ore races]
						SON#	1 2	3	4 5
2.	Location where observed [SELECT ONLY ONE CA	TEGORY]		American I	ndian or Alaskan		0	0	O O
	Mark 1 to 8			DI	ack or African Am	Asian	3 8	9	9 9
	Street or sidewalk SVehicle (car, van, RV, Bus, train station, air			- T- C	ack or African Am awaiian, Pacific Is		3 8	8	8 8
	3 Under bridge/overpass 7 Woods or outdoor e			Native H	a wallari, Facilic is	White	3 8	X	8 8
	4 Park (Specify) 8 Other (Specify)			Don't Kı	now/Refused to A		5 8	S	8 8
3.	Total persons staying together as a family unit:								0 0
٥.	rotar persons staying together as a family unit.								
	Adults (25+): Adults (18-24): Children (17	or under):							
-	White the state of								
7.	What are the persons' estimated ages?								
I	Person # Age Pange								

30 to 39

30 to 39

25 to 29 30 to 39 40 to 49

30 to 39 40 to 49

30 to 39 40 to 49

) 40 to 49

40 to 49

○ 50 to 61

)50 to 61

50 to 61

) 50 to 61

) 50 to 61

0 62 to 69

62 to 69

62 to 69

) 62 to 69

70 to 79 080+ 080+

70 to 79 (80+

70 to 79 ()80+

70 to 79

70 to 79

1

2

4

5

0 to 5

○6 to 17

)6 to 17

06 to 17

6 to 17

18 to 24

) 18 to 24

18 to 24

18 to 24

18 to 24

25 to 29

25 to 29

25 to 29

) 25 to 29

Riverside County - 2017 Youth PIT Count Interview Survey Tool

	ame is, and I am helping idential, and will help us develop mo						
SURVEY LOCATION:		City:			Zip:	SURVEY DAT	E:
INTERVIEWER: UNIQUE ID:	First & Last Initial	City Born		te Born	Birth Month	TIME:/	AM/PM Birth Year
2. Where did you sleep Street or sidewalk Vehicle (car, van, Park or other pub Bus, train station, Under bridge/ove Outdoor encampr Emergency shelte	RV, truck) lic outdoor space opty building/squatting airport rpass ment r	·		Can you tel Street o Vehicle Park or Abando Bus, trai Under b Outdoo Emerger Transitie Runawa Youth sl	(car, van, RV, truck) other public outdoor spa ned or empty building/sq in station, airport ridge/overpass r encampment ncy shelter onal housing y Homeless Youth Progra	ce uatting m (TLP/MGH)	week?
☐ Friend, partner or ☐ My own home or ☐ With someone I d ☐ Motel/hotel ☐ Hospital, psychiat ☐ Juvenile detention ☐ Bus, train station, ☐ Other location (sp	idn't know because I needed a p ric facility, or drug/alcohol treat n, jail, or prison airport eccify):	ment center you these same questions	5.	☐ My own ☐ With so ☐ Motel/h ☐ Hospital ☐ Juvenile ☐ Bus, trai ☐ Other lo What is you ☐ America ☐ Black or ☐ White	home or apartment meone I didn't know beco otel I, psychiatric facility, or d detention, jail, or prison in station, airport cation (specify):	one or more rac Asian Native Ha	atment center
STO	***IF YES, STOP THE SURVEY*	** STOP	6.	☐ Yes, Hisp ☐ No, not	spanic or Latino? panic/Latino Hispanic/Latino now/Refuse to Answer		

READ: The next few questions may seem fairly obvious, but we do not want to assume anything, We want to know how you self-identify, so I will read each question and all response	16. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use marijuana on your own that is without a doctor telling you to?
options.	□ 0 days □ 1 day □ 2 days □ 3 to 5 days □ 6 to 9 days □ 10 to 19 days
. 7. How would you define your gender?	☐ 20+ days ☐ Don't Know/Refuse to Answer
☐ Male ☐ Female ☐ Transgender (M->F) ☐ Transgender (F->M)	47. Desire the control of the contro
☐ Don't identify as male, female, or transgender ☐ Don't Know/Refuse to Ans.	17. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use other illicit drugs or substances on your own that is without a doctor telling you to?
0. What halls be contained under here continued by boundary	□ 0 days □ 1 day □ 2 days □ 3 to 5 days □ 6 to 9 days □ 10 to 19 days
8. What is the longest time you've been <u>continuously</u> homeless? Only include time spent staying in shelters and/or on the streets.	□ 20+ days □ Don't Know/Refuse to Answer
☐ Less than 12 months ☐ 12 months or more	
	18. Do you have any physical disabilities?
9. How many separate times have you been homeless (stayed in shelters or on the	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know / Refuse to answer
streets) in the <u>past 3 years?</u> Only include time spent staying in shelters and/or on the streets.	
☐ 1 time ☐ 2-3 times ☐ 4 or more times	19. Have you ever received special education services from school at any point for
10. In total, how long have you been homeless in the past 3 years? Only include time	more than 6 months?
spent staying in shelters and/or on the streets.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know / Refuse to answer
Less than 12 months 12 months or more	20. Have you ever received services, or felt like you needed help with your mental
as well-hardshafell-what have have been weather from 10	health?
11. Which of the following best describes how you think of yourself? Lesbian or gay Straight Bisexual	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know / Refuse to answer
□ I don't know/questioning □ I'd rather not say □ Other:	
2 radio (notal) questioning 2 radiation notal) 2 other.	21. Do you have HIV/AIDS or have you had any related illness?
12. [If female:] Are you currently pregnant? [If male or 'other':] Are you expecting to	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know / Refuse to answer
become a parent in the next 9 months?	22. However of the leaves of the leaves of the help of the second
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know / Refuse to answer	 Have any of the issues we just discussed (alcohol or drug use, physical or mental disabilities, your mental health, learning disabilities, or HIV/AIDS) ever kept you from
13. [If 18 or older:] Have you served in the U.S. Armed Forces (Army, Navy, Air Force,	getting a job or obtaining or staying in stable housing?
Marines, or Coast Guard?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know / Refuse to answer
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know / Refuse to answer	
	23. Do you receive a disability check, such as a Social Security check (SSI or SDI) or a
14. [If 18 or older:] Have you ever received health care or benefits from a Veterans	Veteran Disability Compensation check?
Administration (VA) Medical Center?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know / Refuse to answer
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know / Refuse to answer	24. Have you ever been physically, emotionally, or sexually abused by a relative or
READ: The next few questions are private and sensitive in nature. I apologize for the sensitive	another person you have stayed with, such as a spouse, partner, brother or sister, or
nature. These questions are not meant to make you feel uncomfortable, but rather are simply	parent?
part of the survey. YOUR RESPONSES ARE VOLUNTARY.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know / Refuse to answer
15. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you have 4 or more alcoholic	25. Have you ever been placed in foster care or stayed in a group home?
drinks in a row?	Yes □ No □ Don't know / Refuse to answer 25B. If Yes - If you left in the past 3 years, did anyone help you get housing?
□ 0 days □ 1 day □ 2 days □ 3 to 5 days □ 6 to 9 days □ 10 to 19 days	
□ 20+ days □ Don't Know/Refuse to Answer	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not in past 3 years ☐ Don't know / Refuse to answer

RIVERSIDE COUNTY CoC Unsheltered YOUTH Street Count Fo	rm – Observational Tool JAN. 24 – 30, 2017
Location of Interview:	City Zip Code
(street intersection/specific location)	(Zip Code is required)
Interviewer Name	Date:Time:AM/PM
USE ONE FORM PER INDIVIDUAL OR FAMILY UNIT. 1. Please indicate why you are using the observational tool:	 What is the person's race? [You can select one or more races]
CHECK ONE PER PERSON 1 2 3 4 5	PERSON# 1 2 3 4 5
Barriers to enter site OOOO	American Indian or Alaskan Native O O O O
Site was unsafe to enter OOOO	Asian O O O O Black or African American O O O O
Person refused to participate 🔘 🔘 🔘 🔘	Black or African American O O O O Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander O O O
Person was sleeping O O O O	White OOOO
Language barrier O O O O	Don't Know/Refused to Answer OOOO
Unable to respond (i.e., mental OOOO	
issues, intoxication)	
STOP	
**IF ONE OF THE ABOVE OPTIONS IS NOT SELECTED, STOP THIS SURVEY	
AND COMPLETE THE INTERVIEW SURVEY TOOL**	
2. <u>Location</u> where observed [SELECT ONLY ONE CATEGORY] Mark 1 to 8	
Street or sidewalk SVehicle (car, van, RV, truck)	
Abandoned building	
Park (Specify)	
2 Total annual desired and the second and the second	
3. Total persons staying together as a family unit:	
Adults (25+): Adults (18-24): Children (17 or under):	
4. What are the persons' estimated ages?	
Person Age Range	
#	
1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 3 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
3 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
5 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10)	
Mark 1 to 10	
① age 0 to 5 ② age 6 to 17 ③ age 18 to 24 ④ age 25 to 29 ⑤ age 30 to 39 ⑥ age 40-49 ⑦ age 50 to 61 ⑧ age 62 to 69	
③ age 70 to 79 ① age 80+	
5. Does this person appear male or female?	
Person 1: OM OF ODon't know	
Person 2: OM OF ODon't know	
Person 3: OM OF ODon't know	
Person 4: OM OF ODon't know Person 5: OM OF ODon't know	
J. J	
6. Does this person appear Hispanic or Latino?	
Person 1: O YES O NO O Don't know Person 2: O YES O NO O Don't know	
Person 2: OYES ONO ODOn't know Person 3: OYES ONO ODOn't know	
Person 4: OYES ONO ODOn't know	Riverside DPSS ASD-HPU / 1-15-17 / v1
Person 5: OYES ONO ODon't know	

Appendix B: City Summary Tables

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - BANNING

Field	Response Options	General	PIT Count	Total 2017 Unsheltered		
rieiu	Response Options	Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0	3	9%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	2	0	2	6%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	11	6	17	50%	
	Multiple Races	1	0	1	3%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	11	0	11	32%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	28	6	34	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	10	0	10	31%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	16	6	22	69%	
Ethnicity —	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	26	6	32	100%	
	Female	8	3	11	34%	
	Male	18	3	21	66%	
Canadan	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Does Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	26	6	32	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	1	0	1	3%	
	25-29	1	0	1	3%	
	30-39	9	3	12	38%	
•	40-49	8	1	9	28%	
Age	50-61	7	1	8	25%	
	62-69	0	1	1	3%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	26	6	32	100%	
	Chronically Homeless	12	0	12	13%	
Γ	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	5	0	5	6%	
	Veterans	4	0	4	4%	
	Alcohol Use	11	0	11	12%	
	Drug Use	11	0	11	12%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	7	0	7	8%	
Juphohalations	Mental Health Conditions	12	0	12	13%	
	Physical Disability	9	0	9	10%	
	Developmental Disability	3	0	3	3%	
	Brain Injury	6	0	6	7%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	8	0	8	9%	
	AIDS or HIV	1	0	1	1%	
	TOTAL	89	0	89	278%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	26	6	32	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - BEAUMONT

Field	Response Options	General	PIT Count	Total 2017 Unsheltered		
ricia	Response Options	Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	2	9%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	16	1	17	77%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	1	2	3	14%	
	TOTAL	18	4	22	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	3	0	3	17%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	10	2	12	67%	
Ethilicity	Blank	1	2	3	17%	
	TOTAL	14	4	18	100%	
	Female	3	1	4	22%	
Γ	Male	11	2	13	72%	
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	1	1	6%	
	TOTAL	14	4	18	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	0	0	0	0%	
	25-29	0	1	1	6%	
	30-39	4	2	6	33%	
۸۵۵	40-49	2	0	2	11%	
Age	50-61	3	0	3	17%	
	62-69	1	0	1	6%	
	70-79	1	0	1	6%	
	80+	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	3	1	4	22%	
	TOTAL	14	4	18	100%	
	Chronically Homeless	2	0	2	15%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	3	0	3	23%	
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%	
	Alcohol Use	2	0	2	15%	
<u> </u>	Drug Use	2	0	2	15%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	1	0	1	8%	
	Mental Health Conditions	1	0	1	8%	
	Physical Disability	1	0	1	8%	
	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%	
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	1	0	1	8%	
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	13	0	13	72%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	14	4	18	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - BLYTHE

Et al al	Response Options	General	PIT Count	Total 2017 Unsheltered		
Field		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	4	0	4	7%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	2	6	8	13%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	19	7	26	43%	
	Multiple Races	1	0	1	2%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	1	5	6	10%	
	Blank	5	10	15	25%	
	TOTAL	32	28	60	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	7	8	15	25%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	22	17	39	66%	
Ethnicity	Blank	2	3	5	8%	
	TOTAL	31	28	59	100%	
	Female	9	9	18	31%	
	Male	21	16	37	63%	
Candan	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	1	1	2%	
	Blank	1	2	3	5%	
	TOTAL	31	28	59	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	0	3	3	5%	
	25-29	2	4	6	10%	
	30-39	3	3	6	10%	
•	40-49	10	4	14	24%	
Age	50-61	13	8	21	36%	
	62-69	2	5	7	12%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	1	1	2	3%	
	TOTAL	31	28	59	100%	
	Chronically Homeless	11	0	11	12%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	5	0	5	5%	
	Veterans	4	0	4	4%	
	Alcohol Use	7	0	7	7%	
	Drug Use	11	0	11	12%	
Subnanulationa	PTSD	6	0	6	6%	
Subpopulations —	Mental Health Conditions	13	0	13	14%	
	Physical Disability	12	0	12	13%	
	Developmental Disability	6	0	6	6%	
	Brain Injury	7	0	7	7%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	12	0	12	13%	
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	94	0	94	159%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	31	28	59	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - CALIMESA

Field	Response Options	General	PIT Count	Total 2017 Unsheltered		
rieid		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	0	0	0	0%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%	
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%	
Ethilicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%	
	Female	0	0	0	0%	
	Male	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	0	0	0	0%	
	25-29	0	0	0	0%	
	30-39	0	0	0	0%	
Age	40-49	0	0	0	0%	
Age	50-61	0	0	0	0%	
	62-69	0	0	0	0%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%	
	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%	
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%	
	Alcohol Use	0	0	0	0%	
	Drug Use	0	0	0	0%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	0	0	0	0%	
	Mental Health Conditions	0	0	0	0%	
	Physical Disability	0	0	0	0%	
	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%	
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0%	
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	0	0	0	0%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - CANYON LAKE

Field	Response Options	General	PIT Count	Total 2017 Unsheltered		
		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	0	0	0	0%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%	
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%	
Fall of the	Not Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%	
Ethnicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%	
	Female	0	0	0	0%	
	Male	0	0	0	0%	
	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	0	0	0	0%	
	25-29	0	0	0	0%	
	30-39	0	0	0	0%	
	40-49	0	0	0	0%	
Age	50-61	0	0	0	0%	
	62-69	0	0	0	0%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%	
	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%	
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%	
	Alcohol Use	0	0	0	0%	
	Drug Use	0	0	0	0%	
	PTSD	0	0	0	0%	
Subpopulations	Mental Health Conditions	0	0	0	0%	
	Physical Disability	0	0	0	0%	
<u> </u>	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%	
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%	
<u> </u>	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0%	
 	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%	
-	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%	
Total Unchaltered	Homeless Individuals	0	0	0	0%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - CATHEDRAL CITY

Et al al	Response Options	General	PIT Count	Total 2017	Unsheltered
Field		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%
_	Asian	2	0	2	5%
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	25	3	28	64%
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%
_	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	3	4	7	16%
	Blank	7	0	7	16%
	TOTAL	37	7	44	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	12	3	15	34%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	22	1	23	52%
Lamiercy	Blank	3	3	6	14%
	TOTAL	37	7	44	100%
	Female	10	1	11	25%
	Male	27	5	32	73%
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	1	1	2%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	37	7	44	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	1	2	3	7%
	25-29	6	2	8	18%
	30-39	4	0	4	9%
Age —	40-49	11	0	11	25%
760	50-61	13	1	14	32%
	62-69	2	0	2	5%
	70-79	0	0	0	0%
	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	2	2	5%
	TOTAL	37	7	44	100%
	Chronically Homeless	15	0	15	13%
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	18	0	18	16%
	Veterans	5	0	5	4%
	Alcohol Use	9	0	9	8%
	Drug Use	24	0	24	21%
ubpopulations —	PTSD	7	0	7	6%
	Mental Health Conditions	9	0	9	8%
	Physical Disability	6	0	6	5%
	Developmental Disability	6	0	6	5%
	Brain Injury	6	0	6	5%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	7	0	7	6%
	AIDS or HIV	2	0	2	2%
	TOTAL	114	0	114	259%
otal Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	37	7	44	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - COACHELLA

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieid		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	8	0	8	8%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	1	0	1	1%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	1	0	1	1%	
Race	White	45	8	53	56%	
	Multiple Races	6	0	6	6%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	4	10	14	15%	
	Blank	10	2	12	13%	
	TOTAL	75	20	95	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	31	8	39	48%	
Fall of the	Not Hispanic or Latino	26	6	32	40%	
Ethnicity	Blank	4	6	10	12%	
	TOTAL	61	20	81	100%	
	Female	24	8	32	40%	
	Male	37	9	46	57%	
	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	3	3	4%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	61	20	81	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	1	0	1	1%	
	25-29	5	5	10	12%	
	30-39	8	9	17	21%	
A	40-49	22	2	24	30%	
Age	50-61	18	1	19	23%	
	62-69	4	1	5	6%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	8 0 1 1 1 53 6 14 12 95 39 32 10 81 32 46 0 3 0 81 0 0 1 10 17 24 19 5 0 0 5 81 20 0 6 5 11 11 24 4 8 8 11	0%	
	Blank	3	2		6%	
	TOTAL	61	20		100%	
	Chronically Homeless	20	0	20	16%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	6	0	6	5%	
	Veterans	5	0	5	4%	
	Alcohol Use	11	0	11	9%	
	Drug Use	12	0	12	10%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	10	0	10	8%	
Jaspopalations	Mental Health Conditions	11	0	11	9%	
	Physical Disability	24	0	24	20%	
	Developmental Disability	4	0	4	3%	
	Brain Injury	8	0	8	7%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	11	0	11	9%	
	AIDS or HIV	1	0	1	1%	
	TOTAL	123	0	123	152%	
Total Unsheltered I	Homeless Individuals	61	20	81	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - CORONA

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
Field		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0	2	3%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	3	3	6	10%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	1	0	1	2%	
Race	White	25	7	32	53%	
	Multiple Races	2	0	2	3%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	3	7	10	17%	
	Blank	7	0	7	12%	
	TOTAL	43	17	2 10 7 60 23 27 6 56 15 40 0 1 0 56 0 1 5 5 5 8 8 8 18 5 0 0 0 6 5 6	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	17	6	23	41%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	21	6	27	48%	
Lumicity	Blank	1	5	6	11%	
	TOTAL	39	17	56	100%	
	Female	10	5	15	27%	
	Male	29	11	40 0 1	71%	
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	1	1	2%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	39	17	56	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	1	1	2%	
	18-24	2	3	5	9%	
	25-29	4	1	5	9%	
	30-39	4	4	8	14%	
Age	40-49	7	1	8	14%	
	50-61	16	2	18	32%	
	62-69	4	1	5	9%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	0 1 5 5 8 8 8 18 5 0 0 6 56 12	0%	
	Blank	2	4		11%	
	TOTAL	39	17		100%	
	Chronically Homeless	12	0		12%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	8	0		8%	
	Veterans	1	0		1%	
	Alcohol Use	6	0	6	6%	
	Drug Use	16	0	16	15%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	8	0	8	8%	
	Mental Health Conditions	11	0	11	11%	
	Physical Disability	15	0	15	14%	
	Developmental Disability	9	0	9	9%	
	Brain Injury	9	0	9	9%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	7	0	7	7%	
	AIDS or HIV	2	0	2	2%	
	TOTAL	104	0	104	186%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	39	17	56	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - DESERT HOT SPRINGS

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieia		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0	2	5%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	2	2	4	9%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	20	7	27	63%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	3	0	3	7%	
	Blank	6	1	7	16%	
	TOTAL	33	10	43	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	12	3	15	35%	
Faloui aitu	Not Hispanic or Latino	20	5	25	58%	
Ethnicity	Blank	1	2	3	7%	
	TOTAL	33	10	43	100%	
	Female	13	2	15	35%	
	Male	19	8	27	63%	
	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	1	0	1	2%	
	TOTAL	33	10	43	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	6	0	6	14%	
	25-29	1	5	6	14%	
	30-39	4	1	5	12%	
. –	40-49	7	1	8	19%	
Age	50-61	11	2	13	30%	
	62-69	3	0	3	7%	
	70-79	1	0	1	2%	
	80+	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	1	4 0 27 0 3 7 43 15 25 3 43 15 27 0 0 0 1 43 0 0 6 6 6 5 8 13 3 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2%	
	TOTAL	33	10		100%	
	Chronically Homeless	5	0	5	5%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	5	0	5	5%	
	Veterans	1	0	1	1%	
	Alcohol Use	7	0	7	8%	
	Drug Use	11	0	11	12%	
	PTSD	15	0	15	16%	
Subpopulations	Mental Health Conditions	6	0		6%	
	Physical Disability	13	0	13	14%	
	Developmental Disability	2	0		2%	
	Brain Injury	11	0	11	12%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	17	0	17	18%	
<u> </u>	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%	
F	TOTAL	93	0	93	216%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	33	10	43	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - EASTVALE

Field	Response Options	General	PIT Count	Total 2017 Unsheltered	
Field		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	0	0	0	0%
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%
Falousi aide.	Not Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0	0%
	Male	0	0	0	0%
O a va da va	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	0	0	0	0%
	25-29	0	0	0	0%
	30-39	0	0	0	0%
	40-49	0	0	0	0%
Age	50-61	0	0	0	0%
	62-69	0	0	0	0%
	70-79	0	0	0	0%
	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%
	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%
	Alcohol Use	0	0	0	0%
	Drug Use	0	0	0	0%
Subnonulations	PTSD	0	0	0	0%
Subpopulations	Mental Health Conditions	0	0	0	0%
	Physical Disability	0	0	0	0%
	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0%
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	0	0	0	0%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - HEMET

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieia		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0	5	4%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	10	4	14	11%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	2	0	2	2%	
Race	White	38	15	53	42%	
	Multiple Races	3	0	3	2%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	8	11	19	15%	
	Blank	6	24	30	24%	
	TOTAL	72	54	126	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	18	12	30	25%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	46	27	73	61%	
Ethilicity	Blank	1	15	16	13%	
	TOTAL	65	54	119	100%	
	Female	14	9	23	19%	
	Male	48 40	88	74%		
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	4	4	3%	
	Blank	3	1	4	3%	
	TOTAL	65	54	119	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	10	11	21	18%	
	25-29	2	18	20	17%	
	30-39	14	9	23	19%	
A 000	40-49	18	3	21	18%	
Age	50-61	14	3	17	14%	
	62-69	3	0	3	3%	
	70-79	1	0	1	1%	
	80+	0	0	3 19 30 126 30 73 16 119 23 88 0 4 4 119 0 0 21 20 23 21 17 3 1 0 13 119 15 0 13 8 26 27 20 19 15 4 17	0%	
	Blank	3	10		11%	
	TOTAL	65	54	119	100%	
	Chronically Homeless	15	0	15	8%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	13	0	13	7%	
	Veterans	8	0	8	4%	
<u></u>	Alcohol Use	26	0	26	15%	
	Drug Use	27	0	27	15%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	20	0		11%	
	Mental Health Conditions	19	0		11%	
	Physical Disability	15	0	15	8%	
	Developmental Disability	4	0	4	2%	
	Brain Injury	17	0		9%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	15	0	15	8%	
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	179	0	179	150%	
Total Unsheltered I	Homeless Individuals	65	54	119	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - INDIAN WELLS

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieiu		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	0	0	0	0%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%	
L	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%	
_	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%	
Ethnicity —	Not Hispanic or Latino	0	0		0%	
Lumerty	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%	
_	Female	0	0	Count 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%	
_	Male	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
_	Do Not Identify	0	0		0%	
<u> </u>	Blank	0	0		0%	
	TOTAL	0	0		0%	
	0-5	0	0		0%	
_	17 or under	0	0		0%	
_	18-24	0	0		0%	
_	25-29	0	0		0%	
<u> </u>	30-39	0	0		0%	
Age	40-49	0	0		0%	
	50-61	0	0		0%	
_	62-69	0	0		0%	
	70-79	0	0		0%	
<u> </u>	80+	0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%	
<u> </u>	Blank	0	0		0%	
	TOTAL	0	0		0%	
<u> </u>	Chronically Homeless	0	0		0%	
<u> </u>	Families with Children	0	0		0%	
_	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0		0%	
_	Veterans	0	0		0%	
<u> </u>	Alcohol Use	0	0		0%	
_	Drug Use	0	0		0%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD Mantal Haalth Conditions	0	0		0%	
<u> </u>	Mental Health Conditions	0	0		0%	
<u> </u>	Physical Disability	0	0		0%	
<u> </u>	Developmental Disability	0	0		0%	
<u> </u> _	Brain Injury	0	0		0%	
<u> </u> -	Victim of Domestic Violence AIDS or HIV	0	0		0% 0%	
	TOTAL	0	0		0% 0%	
Fotol Linch oltono di	Homeless Individuals	0	0		0%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - INDIO

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieid		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0	2	2%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	5	0	5	6%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	1	0	1	1%	
Race	White	33	12	45	50%	
	Multiple Races	1	0	1	1%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	12	20	32	36%	
	Blank	4	0	4	4%	
	TOTAL	58	32	90	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	33	17	4 90 50 30 9 89 24 65 0 0 0 0 89 0 1 6 11 13 20 29 5	56%	
Fall of the	Not Hispanic or Latino	24	6	30	34%	
Ethnicity	Blank	0	9	9	10%	
	TOTAL	57	32	89	100%	
	Female	18	6	24	27%	
	Male	39	26	65	73%	
. –	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0		0%	
	TOTAL	57	32	89	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	1	1	1%	
	18-24	1	5	6	7%	
	25-29	6	5	11	12%	
	30-39	7	6	13	15%	
. –	40-49	15	5	20	22%	
Age	50-61	23	6	29	33%	
	62-69	1	4	5	6%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	2 0 5 1 45 1 32 4 90 50 30 9 89 24 65 0 0 0 0 89 0 1 6 11 13 20 29 5 0 0 0 4 89 24 65 11 13 20 29 5 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0%	
	Blank	4	0		4%	
	TOTAL	57	32		100%	
	Chronically Homeless	26	0	26	16%	
	Families with Children	0	0	30 9 89 24 65 0 0 0 0 89 0 1 6 11 13 20 29 5 0 0 4 89 26 0 9 5 13 29 16 10 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	9	0	9	10%	
	Veterans	5	0	5	3%	
	Alcohol Use	13	0	13	8%	
	Drug Use	29	0	29	18%	
	PTSD	16	0	16	10%	
Subpopulations	Mental Health Conditions	10	0		6%	
	Physical Disability	19	0		12%	
	Developmental Disability	8	0		5%	
	Brain Injury	11	0		7%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	17	0		10%	
	AIDS or HIV	1	0		1%	
	TOTAL	164	0	164	184%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	57	32	89	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - JURUPA VALLEY

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieiu		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	9	0	9	6%	
	Asian	1	0	1	1%	
	Black or African American	11	0	11	8%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	1	0	1	1%	
Race	White	69	14	83	59%	
	Multiple Races	4	0	4	3%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	7	7	14	10%	
	Blank	10	7	17	12%	
	TOTAL	112	28	140	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	34	2	36	28%	
	Not Hispanic or Latino	65	11	76	60%	
Ethnicity	Blank	2	13	15	12%	
	TOTAL	101	26	127	100%	
	Female	34	2	36	28%	
	Male	66	20	86	67%	
 	Transgender	1	0		1%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	5		4%	
	Blank	0	1	1	1%	
	TOTAL	101	28	129	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	1	1	1%	
	18-24	3	2	5	4%	
	25-29	7	3	10	8%	
	30-39	18	6	24	19%	
. –	40-49	28	4	32	25%	
Age	50-61	37	0	37	29%	
	62-69	8	2	10	8%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	10	11 1 83 4 14 17 140 36 76 15 127 36 86 1 5 1 129 0 1 5 10 24 32 37 10 0 0 10 129 30 0 14 6 25 47 27 27 27 27 6 21	8%	
	TOTAL	101	28		100%	
	Chronically Homeless	30	0	30	12%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	14	0	14	11%	
	Veterans	6	0	6	2%	
	Alcohol Use	25	0	25	10%	
	Drug Use	47	0	47	19%	
Cb.m.a.m.ul.z.ti.z.z.z	PTSD	27	0	27	11%	
Subpopulations	Mental Health Conditions	27	0	27	11%	
	Physical Disability	27	0		11%	
	Developmental Disability	6	0		2%	
	Brain Injury	21	0	21	8%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	20	0	20	8%	
	AIDS or HIV	1	0	1	0%	
	TOTAL	251	0	251	195%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	101	28	129	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - LA QUINTA

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
Tielu		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	1	1	2	100%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	1	2	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	1	1	2	100%	
Ethnicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	1	2	100%	
	Female	0	0	0	0%	
	Male	1	1	2	100%	
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	1	2	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	0	0	0	0%	
	25-29	0	0	0	0%	
	30-39	0	1	1	50%	
Age	40-49	0	0	0	0%	
Age	50-61	1	0	1	50%	
	62-69	0	0	0	0%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%	
	Blank	0	0		0%	
	TOTAL	1	1	2	100%	
_	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%	
_	Families with Children	0	0	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2 0	0%	
_	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%	
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%	
	Alcohol Use	1	0	1	50%	
	Drug Use	1	0		50%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	0	0		0%	
	Mental Health Conditions	0	0		0%	
	Physical Disability	0	0		0%	
	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%	
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0%	
<u></u>	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	2	0	2	100%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	1	1	2	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - LAKE ELSINORE

Field	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieid		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	6	0	6	9%
	Asian	1	0	1	1%
	Black or African American	3	1	4	6%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	1	0	1	1%
Race	White	39	6	45	66%
	Multiple Races	3	0	3	4%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	2	2	4	6%
	Blank	4	0	4	6%
	TOTAL	59	9	68	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	10	1	11	18%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	43	6	49	79%
Ethnicity	Blank	0	2	2	3%
	TOTAL	53	9	62	100%
	Female	15	0	Count 6 1 4 1 45 3 4 4 68 11 49 2 62 15 47 0 0 0 0 62 0 0 0 8 16 8 12 9 2 1 6 6 62 15 0 16 0 15 24 13 15 17 8 13 10 1 147	24%
	Male	38	9	47	76%
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	53	9	62	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	0	0	0	0%
	25-29	5	3	8	13%
	30-39	15	1	16	26%
A 70	40-49	7	1	8	13%
Age	50-61	12	0	12	19%
	62-69	9	0	9	15%
	70-79	1	1	2	3%
	80+	1	0	1	2%
	Blank	3	3	68 11 49 2 62 15 47 0 0 0 0 62 0 0 0 8 16 8 12 9 2 1 6 6 62 15 0 16 0 15 24 13 15 17	10%
	TOTAL	53	9		100%
	Chronically Homeless	15	0	15	10%
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	16	0	16	26%
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%
	Alcohol Use	15	0	15	10%
	Drug Use	24	0	24	16%
Subnonulations	PTSD	13	0	13	9%
Subpopulations —	Mental Health Conditions	15	0	15	10%
	Physical Disability	17	0	17	12%
	Developmental Disability	8	0	8	5%
	Brain Injury	13	0	13	9%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	10	0	10	7%
	AIDS or HIV	1	0	1	1%
	TOTAL	147	0	147	237%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	53	9	62	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - MENIFEE

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieia		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1	7%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	2	0	2	14%	
Race	White	4	3	7	50%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	3	1	4	29%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	10	4	14	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	2	0	2	14%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	8	2	10	71%	
Ethilicity	Blank	0	2	2	14%	
	TOTAL	10	4	14	100%	
	Female	3	2	5	36%	
	Male	6	2	8	57%	
Gender	Transgender	1	0	1	7%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	10	4	14	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	1	0	1	7%	
	18-24	2	0	2	14%	
	25-29	1	2	3	21%	
	30-39	3	0	3	21%	
۸۵۵	40-49	2	0	2	14%	
Age	50-61	1	1	2	14%	
	62-69	0	1	1	7%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	1 0 0 0 2 7 0 4 0 14 2 10 2 14 5 8 1 0 0 0 14 0 0 1 1 2 3 3 3 2 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%	
	Blank	0	0		0%	
	TOTAL	10	4		100%	
	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	4	0	4	29%	
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%	
	Alcohol Use	2	0	2	11%	
	Drug Use	5	0		26%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	0	0		0%	
	Mental Health Conditions	1	0		5%	
	Physical Disability	4	0	4	21%	
	Developmental Disability	1	0	1	5%	
	Brain Injury	1	0	1	5%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	1	0		5%	
	AIDS or HIV	0	0		0%	
	TOTAL	19	0	19	136%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	10	4	14	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - MORENO VALLEY

Field	Response Options	General	PIT Count	Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieia		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0	3	4%
	Asian	3	0	3	4%
	Black or African American	19	7	26	33%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	2	0	2	3%
Race	White	20	5	25	32%
	Multiple Races	2	0	2	3%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	5	4	9	12%
	Blank	5	3	8	10%
	TOTAL	59	19	9	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	13	4	17	23%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	38	12	50	68%
Ethilicity	Blank	3	3	6	8%
	TOTAL	54	19	73	100%
	Female	15	3	18	25%
	Male	38	15	53	73%
Gender	Transgender	1	0	1	1%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	1	1	1%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	54	19	73	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	3	0	3	4%
	18-24	11	4	15	21%
	25-29	3	3	6	8%
	30-39	9	4	13	18%
Age —	40-49	9	3	12	16%
Age	50-61	17	5	22	30%
	62-69	2	0	2	3%
	70-79	0	0	0	0%
	80+	0	0	25 2 9 8 78 17 50 6 73 18 53 1 1 0 73 0 3 15 6 13 12 22 2 0 0 0 0 73 14 0 10 3 14 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0%
<u></u>	Blank	0	0		0%
	TOTAL	54	19	73	100%
	Chronically Homeless	14	0	14	10%
<u></u>	Families with Children	0	0		0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	10	0	10	14%
	Veterans	3	0		2%
<u></u>	Alcohol Use	16	0		11%
<u></u>	Drug Use	22	0		15%
Subpopulations —	PTSD	11	0		8%
	Mental Health Conditions	20	0		14%
<u></u>	Physical Disability	15	0		11%
	Developmental Disability	6	0		4%
	Brain Injury	7	0		5%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	13	0	13	9%
<u></u>	AIDS or HIV	5	0	5	4%
	TOTAL	142	0	142	195%
Total Unsheltered I	Homeless Individuals	54	19	73	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - MURRIETA

Field	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1	11%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	5	2	7	78%
	Multiple Races	1	0	1	11%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	7	2	9	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%
Ethnicity —	Not Hispanic or Latino	5	1	6	86%
Lumerty	Blank	0	1	1	14%
	TOTAL	5	2	7	100%
	Female	0	0	0	0%
	Male	5	2	7	100%
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	5	2	7	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	1	0	1	14%
	25-29	1	0	1	14%
	30-39	2	0	2	29%
Age —	40-49	1	0	1	14%
	50-61	0	2	2	29%
	62-69	0	0	0	0%
	70-79	0	0	0	0%
	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	5	2	7	100%
	Chronically Homeless	1	0	1	6%
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	3	0	3	43%
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%
L	Alcohol Use	3	0	3	19%
	Drug Use	3	0	3	19%
Subpopulations —	PTSD	1	0	1	6%
	Mental Health Conditions	1	0	1	6%
	Physical Disability	1	0	1	6%
	Developmental Disability	1	0	1	6%
	Brain Injury	1	0	1	6%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	1	0	1	6%
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	16	0	16	229%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	5	2	7	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - NORCO

Field	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	1	0	1	25%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	2	0	2	50%
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	1	1	25%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	3	1	4	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	3	0	3	75%
Ethnicity	Blank	0	1	1	25%
	TOTAL	3	1	4	100%
	Female	0	0	0	0%
	Male	3	1	4	100%
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	3	1	4	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	2	0	2	50%
	25-29	0	0	0	0%
	30-39	0	0	0	0%
Age —	40-49	0	0	0	0%
Age	50-61	1	0	1	25%
	62-69	0	0	0	0%
	70-79	0	1	1	25%
	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	3	1	4	100%
	Chronically Homeless	3	0	3	27%
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%
	Alcohol Use	3	0	3	27%
	Drug Use	2	0	2	18%
Subpopulations —	PTSD	0	0	0	0%
	Mental Health Conditions	2	0	2	18%
L	Physical Disability	1	0	1	9%
	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0%
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	11	0	11	275%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	3	1	4	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - PALM DESERT

Field	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
_	American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1	4%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	2	0	2	7%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	17	7	24	86%
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	1	0	1	4%
_	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	21	7	28	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	4	2	6	21%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	17	5	22	79%
Lamicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	21	7	28	100%
L	Female	4	0	4	14%
	Male	17	7	24	86%
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Genaei	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
_	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	21	7	28	100%
_	0-5	0	0	0	0%
_	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	2	1	3	11%
<u> </u>	25-29	3	2	5	18%
_	30-39	3	1	4	14%
Age	40-49	4	1	5	18%
	50-61	7	2	9	32%
<u> </u>	62-69	2	0	2	7%
_	70-79	0	0	0	0%
-	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	21	7	28	100%
_	Chronically Homeless	7	0	7	10%
_	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
-	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%
	Veterans	3	0	3	4%
	Alcohol Use	7	0	7	10%
-	Drug Use	9	0	9	13%
Subpopulations	PTSD Mental Health Conditions	7	0	7	6% 10%
-		_	0		10%
	Physical Disability Developmental Disability	14 7	0	14 7	20% 10%
⊦		5	0	/ 	7%
	Brain Injury Victim of Domestic Violence	7	0	7	10%
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	70	0	70	250%
Total Unchaltared	Homeless Individuals	21	7	28	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - PALM SPRINGS

Field	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	10	0	10	6%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	11	2	13	8%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	1	0	1	1%
Race	White	59	32	91	58%
	Multiple Races	7	0	7	4%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	18	12	30	19%
	Blank	4	2	6	4%
	TOTAL	110	48	158	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	28	5	33	24%
Faloui aitu	Not Hispanic or Latino	62	39	101	73%
Ethnicity	Blank	0	4	4	3%
	TOTAL	90	48	138	100%
	Female	29	9	38	28%
	Male	61	32	93	67%
Caradan	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	6	6	4%
	Blank	0	1	1	1%
	TOTAL	90	48	138	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	2	0	2	1%
	18-24	8	1	9	7%
	25-29	4	7	11	8%
	30-39	11	12	23	17%
	40-49	23	9	32	23%
Age	50-61	33	6	39	28%
	62-69	5	5	10	7%
	70-79	0	2	2	1%
	80+	2	0	2	1%
	Blank	2	6	8	6%
	TOTAL	90	48	138	100%
	Chronically Homeless	20	0	20	8%
	Families with Children	1	0	1	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	13	0	13	9%
	Veterans	12	0	12	5%
	Alcohol Use	19	0	19	8%
	Drug Use	29	0	29	12%
Subnonulations	PTSD	29	0	29	12%
Subpopulations —	Mental Health Conditions	25	0	25	10%
	Physical Disability	35	0	35	14%
	Developmental Disability	9	0	9	4%
-	Brain Injury	20	0	20	8%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	26	0	26	11%
	AIDS or HIV	4	0	4	2%
	TOTAL	242	0	242	175%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	90	48	138	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - PERRIS

Field	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1	3%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	6	1	7	24%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	9	1	10	34%
	Multiple Races	2	0	2	7%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	2	0	2	7%
	Blank	7	0	7	24%
	TOTAL	27	2	29	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	9	0	9	31%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	14	2	16	55%
Ethnicity	Blank	4	0	4	14%
	TOTAL	27	2	29	100%
	Female	3	0	3	10%
	Male	24	2	26	90%
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	27	2	29	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	1	0	1	3%
	25-29	4	1	5	17%
	30-39	6	0	6	21%
Λσο.	40-49	5	0	5	17%
Age	50-61	4	1	5	17%
	62-69	4	0	4	14%
	70-79	1	0	1	3%
	80+	1	0	1	3%
	Blank	1	0	1	3%
	TOTAL	27	2	29	100%
	Chronically Homeless	5	0	5	7%
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	3	0	3	10%
	Veterans	1	0	1	1%
	Alcohol Use	4	0	4	6%
	Drug Use	9	0	9	13%
Subpopulations —	PTSD	9	0	9	13%
	Mental Health Conditions	8	0	8	12%
	Physical Disability	10	0	10	15%
	Developmental Disability	5	0	5	7%
	Brain Injury	8	0	8	12%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	5	0	5	7%
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	67	0	67	231%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	27	2	29	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - RANCHO MIRAGE

Field	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	0	0	0	0%
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0	0%
	Male	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
_	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	0	0	0	0%
	25-29	0	0	0	0%
_	30-39	0	0	0	0%
Age	40-49	0	0	0	0%
_	50-61	0	0	0	0%
	62-69	0	0	0	0%
	70-79	0	0	0	0%
_	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%
<u> </u> -	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%
<u> </u> -	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
<u> </u>	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0% 0%
<u> </u>	Veterans Alcohol Use	0	0	0	0%
-		0	0	0	0%
<u> </u>	Drug Use PTSD	0	0	0	0%
Subpopulations —	Mental Health Conditions	0	0	0	0%
<u> </u> -	Physical Disability	0	0	0	0%
<u> </u>	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%
-	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%
<u> </u>	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0%
<u> </u>	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	0	0	0	0%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - RIVERSIDE

Field	Response Options	General	PIT Count	Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieia		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	14	4	18	4%
	Asian	1	2	3	1%
	Black or African American	34	20	54	13%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	4	1	5	1%
Race	White	145	63	208	51%
	Multiple Races	10	0	10	2%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	12	54	66	16%
	Blank	33	14	47	11%
	TOTAL	253	158	411	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	77	24	101	26%
Falousi aita .	Not Hispanic or Latino	141	69	210	54%
Ethnicity	Blank	13	65	78	20%
	TOTAL	231	158	389	100%
	Female	74	40	114	29%
	Male	149	99	248	64%
Gender	Male 149 Transgender 4	0	4	1%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	2	0	2	1%
	Blank	2	19	21	5%
	TOTAL	231	158	389	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	2	1	3	1%
	18-24	46	28	74	19%
	25-29	21	32	53	14%
	30-39	36	22	58	15%
	40-49	40	22	62	16%
Age	50-61	60	14	74	19%
	62-69	12	11	23	6%
	70-79	2	0	2	1%
	80+	1	0	1	0%
	Blank	11	28	39	10%
	TOTAL	231	158	389	100%
	Chronically Homeless	73	0	73	11%
	Families with Children	0	0	18 3 54 5 208 10 66 47 411 101 210 78 389 114 248 4 2 21 389 0 3 74 53 58 62 74 23 2 1 39 389	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	32	0	32	8%
	Veterans	21	0	21	3%
	Alcohol Use	66	0	66	10%
	Drug Use	107	0	107	16%
Cubnonulations	PTSD	48	0	48	7%
Subpopulations	Mental Health Conditions	83	0	83	13%
	Physical Disability	75	0	75	12%
	Developmental Disability	37	0	37	6%
	Brain Injury	42	0	42	6%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	65	0	65	10%
ļ	AIDS or HIV	3	0		0%
	TOTAL	652	0	652	168%
Total Unsheltere	d Homeless Individuals	231	158	389	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - SAN JACINTO

Field	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieid		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0	2	6%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	2	1	3	9%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	1	0	1	3%
Race	White	14	1	15	45%
	Multiple Races	1	0	1	3%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	1	0	1	3%
	Blank	4	6	10	30%
	TOTAL	25	8	33	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	3	4	7	23%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	18	2	20	65%
Ethnicity	Blank	2	2	4	13%
	TOTAL	23	8	31	100%
	Female	5	1	Count 2 0 3 1 15 1 10 33 7 20 4	19%
Г	Male	17	7	24	77%
Condor	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	1	0	1	3%
	TOTAL	23	8	31	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	4	1	5	16%
	25-29	3	4	7	23%
	30-39	6	1	7	23%
A 30	40-49	3	2	5	16%
Age	50-61	5	0	5	16%
	62-69	1	0	1	3%
	70-79	0	0	0	0%
	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	1	0	1	3%
	TOTAL	23	8	3 1 15 1 10 33 7 20 4 31 6 24 0 0 0 1 31 0 0 5 7 7 5 5 5 1 0 0 0 1 31 8 0 0 5 1 1 1 12 4 5 3 5 5 0	100%
	Chronically Homeless	8	0	8	15%
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	5	0	5	16%
	Veterans	1	0	1	2%
	Alcohol Use	1	0	1	2%
	Drug Use	12	0	12	22%
Subpopulations —	PTSD	4	0	4	7%
Janhohaiarioiis	Mental Health Conditions	5	0	5	9%
	Physical Disability	3	0	3	6%
	Developmental Disability	5	0	5	9%
	Brain Injury	5	0	5	9%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	5	0	5	9%
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	54	0	54	174%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	23	8	31	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - TEMECULA

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
Field		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	3	1	4	4%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	7	2	9	10%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	1	0	1	1%	
Race	White	46	6	52	58%	
	Multiple Races	2	0	2	2%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	4	7	11	12%	
	Blank	9	2	11	12%	
	TOTAL	72	18	90	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	12	2	14	16%	
Falousi oits a	Not Hispanic or Latino	51	8	59	69%	
Ethnicity	Blank	4	8	12	14%	
	TOTAL	67	18	85	100%	
	Female	21	4	25	29%	
	Male	46	13	59	69%	
Condon	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	1	1	1%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	67	18	85	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	7	2	9	10%	
	25-29	8	6	14	16%	
	30-39	21	2	23	26%	
Age	40-49	9	3	12	13%	
Age	50-61	14	5	19	21%	
	62-69	6	0	6	7%	
	70-79	1	0	1	1%	
	80+	1	0	0 9 1 52 2 11 11 90 14 59 12 85 25 59 0 1 0 85 0 0 9 14 23 12 19 6	1%	
	Blank	4	0		4%	
	TOTAL	71	18	89	100%	
	Chronically Homeless	21	0	21	13%	
	Families with Children	0	0	Count 4 0 9 1 52 2 11 11 90 14 59 12 85 25 59 0 1 0 85 0 0 9 14 23 12 19 6 1 1 4 89 21 0 19 6 17 25 20 10 18 3 8 17 0 164	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	19	0	19	21%	
	Veterans	6	0	6	4%	
	Alcohol Use	17	0	17	10%	
	Drug Use	25	0	25	15%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	20	0	20	12%	
Jaspopalations	Mental Health Conditions	10	0	10	6%	
L	Physical Disability	18	0	18	11%	
L	Developmental Disability	3	0		2%	
L	Brain Injury	8	0	8	5%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	17	0		10%	
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	<u>_</u>	0%	
	TOTAL	164	0		184%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	71	18	89	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - WILDOMAR

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	4	0	4	67%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	2	2	33%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	4	2	6	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%	
Faloui eia.	Not Hispanic or Latino	2	0	2	33%	
Ethnicity	Blank	2	2	4	67%	
	TOTAL	4	2	6	100%	
	Female	2	0	2	33%	
	Male	2	2 4	4	67%	
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	4	2	6	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	0	0	0	0%	
	25-29	1	0	1	17%	
	30-39	1	1	2	33%	
Λσο	40-49	0	0	0	0%	
Age	50-61	1	1	2	33%	
	62-69	1	0	1	17%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	0 2 4 6 2 4 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 1 2 0 2	0%	
	Blank	0	0		0%	
	TOTAL	4	2	6	100%	
	Chronically Homeless	1	0	1	20%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0 0 0 0 4 0 2 0 6 0 2 4 6 2 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%	
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%	
	Alcohol Use	0	0	0	0%	
	Drug Use	0	0	0	0%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	1	0		20%	
	Mental Health Conditions	0	0		0%	
	Physical Disability	1	0	1	20%	
<u></u>	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%	
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	2	0		40%	
L	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	5	0	5	83%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	4	2	6	100%	

Appendix C: County Unincorporated Communities Summary Tables

(PIT Count greater than zero)

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - BERMUDA DUNES

Field	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieid		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	10	0	10	100%
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	10	0	10	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	1	0	1	10%
Ethnicity —	Not Hispanic or Latino	8	0	8	80%
Lamiercy	Blank	1	0	1	10%
	TOTAL	10	0	10	100%
	Female	1	0	Count 0 0 0 0 0 10 0 10 10 1 8 1	10%
	Male	9	0	9	90%
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	10	0	10	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	0	0	0	0%
	25-29	0	0	0	0%
	30-39	3	0	3	30%
Age —	40-49	1	0	1	10%
	50-61	6	0	6	60%
	62-69	0	0	0	0%
	70-79	0	0	0	0%
	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 1 1 8 1 10 1 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%
	TOTAL	10	0		100%
	Chronically Homeless	6	0	6	21%
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	3	0	3	10%
	Veterans	1	0	1	3%
	Alcohol Use	2	0	2	7%
	Drug Use	4	0	4	14%
Subpopulations —	PTSD	0	0		0%
	Mental Health Conditions	2	0	2	7%
	Physical Disability	5	0		17%
	Developmental Disability	2	0	2	7%
	Brain Injury	2	0	2	7%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	2	0	2	7%
	AIDS or HIV	0	0		0%
	TOTAL	29	0		290%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	10	0	10	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - CABAZON

Field	Response Options	General	PIT Count	Total 2017 Unsheltered		
Field		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	1	0	1	100%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%	
Falousiaia.	Not Hispanic or Latino	1	0	1	100%	
Ethnicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%	
	Female	0	0	Count 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0	0%	
	Male	1	0	1	100%	
Candan	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	0	0	0	0%	
	25-29	0	0	0	0%	
	30-39	0	0	0	0%	
	40-49	0	0	0	0%	
Age	50-61	1	0	1	100%	
	62-69	0	0	0	0%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	0	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	100%	
	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%	
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%	
	Alcohol Use	0	0	0	0%	
	Drug Use	0	0	0	0%	
Subpopulations	PTSD	0	0	0	0%	
Junhohmations	Mental Health Conditions	0	0	0	0%	
	Physical Disability	1	0	1	100%	
	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%	
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0%	
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	1	0	1	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - CACTUS CITY

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieid		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	1	0	1	100%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%	
Falousiaias.	Not Hispanic or Latino	1	0	1	100%	
Ethnicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%	
	Female	0	0	Count 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0	0%	
	Male	1	0	1	100%	
Condon	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	0	0	0	0%	
	25-29	0	0	0	0%	
	30-39	0	0	0	0%	
Age	40-49	0	0	0	0%	
Age	50-61	0	0	0	0%	
	62-69	1	0	1	100%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%	
	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%	
	Families with Children	0	0	Count 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%	
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%	
	Alcohol Use	1	0	1	25%	
	Drug Use	0	0	0	0%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	0	0	0	0%	
Caspopalations	Mental Health Conditions	1	0	1	25%	
	Physical Disability	1	0	1	25%	
L	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%	
	Brain Injury	1	0		25%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0		0%	
	AIDS or HIV	0	0		0%	
	TOTAL	4	0	4	400%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	1	0	1	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - CHERRY VALLEY

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieid		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	1	0	1	100%	
Race	White	0	0	0	0%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	1	0	1	100%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%	
Lumerty	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%	
	Female	0	0	vational Count 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <t< td=""><td>0%</td></t<>	0%	
	Male	1	0		100%	
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	0	0	0	0%	
	25-29	0	0	0	0%	
	30-39	0	0	0	0%	
Age	40-49	0	0	0	0%	
7.80	50-61	1	0	1	100%	
	62-69	0	0	0	0%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%	
	Blank	0	0		0%	
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%	
	Chronically Homeless	1	0		20%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%	
	Veterans	1	0		20%	
	Alcohol Use	1	0		20%	
<u></u>	Drug Use	0	0		0%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	0	0		0%	
	Mental Health Conditions	0	0	0	0%	
<u></u>	Physical Disability	1	0		20%	
<u></u>	Developmental Disability	0	0		0%	
<u></u>	Brain Injury	0	0		0%	
<u></u>	Victim of Domestic Violence	1	0		20%	
_	AIDS or HIV	0	0		0%	
	TOTAL	5	0		500%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	1	0	1	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - CORONITA

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieid		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	0	1	1	20%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	4	4	80%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	0	5	5	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	0	1	1	20%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%	
Lemmercy	Blank	0	4	4	80%	
	TOTAL	0 5	5	100%		
	Female	0	0	0	0%	
	Male	0	2	2	40%	
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Centaer	Do Not Identify	0	3	3	60%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	0	5	5	100%	
	0-5	0	0		0%	
	17 or under	0	0		0%	
	18-24	0	0	0	0%	
	25-29	0	5	5	100%	
	30-39	0	0	0	0%	
Age	40-49	0	0	0	0%	
	50-61	0	0		0%	
	62-69	0	0	0	0%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	4 5 0 2 0 3 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%	
	Blank	0	0		0%	
	TOTAL	0	5	5	100%	
	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0 0 0 0 1 1 0 4 0 5 1 0 4 5 0 2 0 3 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0		0%	
	Veterans	0	0		0%	
	Alcohol Use	0	0		0%	
<u>L</u>	Drug Use	0	0		0%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	0	0		0%	
	Mental Health Conditions	0	0		0%	
L	Physical Disability	0	0		0%	
<u> </u>	Developmental Disability	0	0		0%	
L	Brain Injury	0	0		0%	
L	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0		0%	
<u> </u>	AIDS or HIV	0	0		0%	
	TOTAL	0	0		0%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	0	5	5	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - HIGHGROVE

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieid		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
<u> </u>	Black or African American	0	2	2	40%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	1	2	3	60%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	4	5	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	0	2	2	40%	
Falousi oi tur	Not Hispanic or Latino	1	2	3	60%	
Ethnicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	4	5	100%	
	Female	0	1	1	20%	
	Male	1	3 4	4	80%	
Condon	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	4	0 5	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	0	0	0	0%	
	25-29	0	2	2	40%	
	30-39	0	0	0	0%	
Age	40-49	0	1	1	20%	
Age	50-61	1	1	2	40%	
	62-69	0	0	0	0%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	2 3 0 5 1 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	4	5	100%	
	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%	
	Families with Children	0	0	Count 0 0 0 2 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 2 3 0 0 0 0 5 1 4 0 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%	
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%	
	Alcohol Use	0	0	0	0%	
	Drug Use	1	0	1	100%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	0	0	0	0%	
Jaspopulations	Mental Health Conditions	0	0	0	0%	
	Physical Disability	0	0	0	0%	
	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%	
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0%	
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	1	0		20%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	1	4	5	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - HOME GARDENS

Field		General	PIT Count	Total 2017 Unsheltered	
Field	Response Options	Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	0	0	0	0%
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	1	1	100%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	1	1	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%
Falousi aidu .	Not Hispanic or Latino	0	1	1	100%
Ethnicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0	0%
	Male	0	0	0	0%
Carreton	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	1	1	100%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	1	1	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	0	0	0	0%
	25-29	0	0	0	0%
	30-39	0	0	0	0%
	40-49	0	1	1	100%
Age	50-61	0	0	0	0%
	62-69	0	0	0	0%
	70-79	0	0	0	0%
	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	1	1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	100%
	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%
	Alcohol Use	0	0	0	0%
	Drug Use	0	0	0	0%
Subpopulations —	PTSD	0	0	0	0%
Saspopulations	Mental Health Conditions	0	0	0	0%
	Physical Disability	0	0	0	0%
	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0%
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	0	1	1	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - IDYLLWILD

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieid		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	7	1	8	80%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	1	1	2	20%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	8	2	0 8 0 2 0 10 0 9 1 10 1 8 1 0 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	8	1	9	90%	
Lumicity	Blank	0	1	1	10%	
	TOTAL	8	2	10	100%	
	Female	1	0	1	10%	
	Male	6	2	8 1	80%	
Gender	Transgender	1	0	1	10%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	8	2	10	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	0	1	1	10%	
	25-29	0	0	0	0%	
_	30-39	3	0	3	30%	
Age	40-49	2	0	2	20%	
	50-61	2	0	2	20%	
	62-69	0	0	0	0%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	0 0 0 0 0 8 8 0 2 0 10 0 9 1 1 10 1 8 1 0 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 0	0%	
	Blank	1	1		20%	
	TOTAL	8	2	10	100%	
	Chronically Homeless	3	0		25%	
	Families with Children	0	0	tional Count 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 2 0 0 10 0 9 11 10 1 8 11 0 0 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 11 0 0 0 0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	1	0		8%	
	Veterans	0	0		0%	
	Alcohol Use	3	0		25%	
<u>L</u>	Drug Use	2	0		17%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	0	0		0%	
	Mental Health Conditions	0	0		0%	
	Physical Disability	1	0		8%	
	Developmental Disability	1	0	1	8%	
	Brain Injury	1	0		8%	
	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0		0%	
	AIDS or HIV	0	0		0%	
	TOTAL	12	0		120%	
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	8	2	10	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - LAKELAND VILLAGE

Field	Response Options	General	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
Field		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%	
	Asian	0	0	0	0%	
	Black or African American	1	0	1	11%	
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%	
Race	White	7	0	7	78%	
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%	
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	1	0	1	11%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	9	0	9	100%	
	Hispanic or Latino	4	0	4	44%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	5	0	5	56%	
Ethinicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	9	0	9	100%	
	Female	4	0	4	44%	
	Male	5	0	5	56%	
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%	
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	9	0	9	100%	
	0-5	0	0	0	0%	
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%	
	18-24	0	0	0	0%	
	25-29	1	0	1	11%	
	30-39	1	0	1	11%	
Age	40-49	5	0	5	56%	
	50-61	1	0	1	11%	
	62-69	1	0	1	11%	
	70-79	0	0	0	0%	
	80+	0	0	0	0%	
	Blank	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	9	0	9	100%	
	Chronically Homeless	1	0	1	10%	
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%	
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%	
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%	
	Alcohol Use	0	0	0	0%	
<u> </u>	Drug Use	1	0	1	10%	
Subpopulations —	PTSD	1	0	1	10%	
	Mental Health Conditions	1	0	1	10%	
L	Physical Disability	2	0	2	20%	
<u> </u>	Developmental Disability	1	0	1	10%	
<u> </u>	Brain Injury	1	0	1	10%	
<u> </u>	Victim of Domestic Violence	2	0	2	20%	
L	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%	
	TOTAL	10	0	10	111%	
Iotal Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	9	0	9	100%	

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - MEAD VALLEY

Field	Response Options	General	PIT Count	Total 2017 Unsheltered	
		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%
_	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	4	1	5	36%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	1	0	1	7%
Race	White	5	1	6	43%
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	2	0	2	14%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	12	2	14	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	4	0	4	29%
Faloui aitu	Not Hispanic or Latino	7	2	9	64%
Ethnicity	Blank	1	0	1	7%
	TOTAL	12	2	14	100%
	Female	5	0	5	36%
	Male	7	2	9	64%
Candan	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	12	2	14	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	1	0	1	7%
	18-24	1	0	1	7%
	25-29	0	0	0	0%
	30-39	1	0	1	7%
	40-49	4	1	5	36%
Age	50-61	3	1	4	29%
	62-69	1	0	1	7%
	70-79	0	0	0	0%
	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	1	0	1	7%
	TOTAL	12	2	14	100%
	Chronically Homeless	7	0	7	18%
	Families with Children	1	0	1	3%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	3	0	3	8%
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%
	Alcohol Use	1	0	1	3%
	Drug Use	7	0	7	18%
Subpopulations —	PTSD	6	0	6	15%
Saspopulations	Mental Health Conditions	4	0	4	10%
	Physical Disability	4	0	4	10%
	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%
	Brain Injury	1	0	1	3%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	5	0	5	13%
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	39	0	3 9	279%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	12	2	14	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - MECCA

Field	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieid		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	5	2	7	47%
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	3	0	3	20%
	Blank	5	0	5	33%
	TOTAL	13	2	15	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	13	1	14	93%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	0	1	1	7%
Ethinicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	13	2	15	100%
	Female	3	2	5	33%
	Male	10	0	10	67%
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	13	2	15	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	2	0	2	13%
	18-24	0	0	0	0%
	25-29	1	0	1	7%
	30-39	4	0	4	27%
Λσο	40-49	2	2	4	27%
Age	50-61	4	0	4	27%
	62-69	0	0	0	0%
	70-79	0	0	0	0%
	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	13	2	15	100%
	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%
	Families with Children	1	0	1	6%
Г	Jail (Within 12 Months)	2	0	2	13%
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%
Γ	Alcohol Use	6	0	6	38%
	Drug Use	3	0	3	19%
Subpopulations	PTSD	0	0	0	0%
Saspopulations	Mental Health Conditions	1	0	1	6%
Γ	Physical Disability	2	0	2	13%
Γ	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%
Γ	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	1	0	1	6%
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	16	0	16	107%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	13	2	15	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - ROMOLAND

F1.7.1	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
Field		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	3	1	4	80%
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	1	0	1	20%
	TOTAL	4	1	5	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	1	0	1	20%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	3	1	4	80%
Ethnicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	4	1	5	100%
	Female	1	0	1	20%
	Male	3	1	4	80%
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	4	1	5	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	0	0	0	0%
	25-29	0	0	0	0%
	30-39	0	0	0	0%
Age	40-49	1	1	2	40%
Age	50-61	2	0	2	40%
	62-69	0	0	0	0%
	70-79	0	0	0	0%
	80+	0	0	0	0%
L	Blank	1	0	1	20%
	TOTAL	4	1	5	100%
	Chronically Homeless	2	0	2	15%
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%
_	Veterans	0	0	0	0%
_	Alcohol Use	2	0	2	15%
	Drug Use	1	0	1	8%
Subpopulations —	PTSD	1	0	1	8%
	Mental Health Conditions	1	0	1	8%
	Physical Disability	4	0	4	31%
_	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	2	0	2	15%
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	13	0	13	260%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	4	1	5	100%

Field	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	0	1	1	100%
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	1	1	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%
Limitity	Blank	0	1	1	100%
	TOTAL	0	1	1	100%
L	Female	0	1	1	100%
	Male	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
<u> </u>	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	1	1	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
_	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
_	18-24	0	0	0	0%
	25-29	0	0	0	0%
<u> </u>	30-39	0	1	1	100%
Age	40-49	0	0	0	0%
	50-61	0	0	0	0%
<u> </u>	62-69	0	0	0	0%
<u> </u>	70-79	0	0	0	0%
-	80+	0	0	0	0%
F	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	1	1	100%
-	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%
-	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
-	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%
-	Veterans	0	0	0	0%
<u> </u>	Alcohol Use	0	0	0	0%
<u> </u>	Drug Use	0	0	0	0%
Subpopulations	PTSD Mantal Haalth Conditions	0	0	0	0%
-	Mental Health Conditions	0	0	0	0%
-	Physical Disability	0	0	0	0%
-	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%
-	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%
-	Victim of Domestic Violence AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0% 0%
<u> </u>	TOTAL	0	0	0	0% 0%
T. 4 - 1 1 1 1 - 1 1 - 1 4 - 1 - 1	Homeless Individuals	0	4	4	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - THERMAL

Field	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rieid		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	1	0	1	100%
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	1	0	1	100%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%
Ethilicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0	0%
	Male	1	0	1	100%
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	0	0	0	0%
	25-29	0	0	0	0%
	30-39	0	0	0	0%
Λσο.	40-49	1	0	1	100%
Age	50-61	0	0	0	0%
	62-69	0	0	0	0%
	70-79	0	0	0	0%
	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	1	0	1	100%
	Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0%
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%
<u></u>	Alcohol Use	0	0	0	0%
	Drug Use	0	0	0	0%
Subpopulations —	PTSD	0	0	0	0%
	Mental Health Conditions	0	0	0	0%
	Physical Disability	0	0	0	0%
	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0%
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	0	0	0	0%
Total Unsheltered I	Homeless Individuals	1	0	1	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - THOUSAND PALMS

Field	Response Options	General PIT Count		Total 2017 Unsheltered	
rielu		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0	2	10%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	3	3	6	30%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	9	1	10	50%
	Multiple Races	1	0	1	5%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	1	1	5%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	15	5	20	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%
Falousiaia.	Not Hispanic or Latino	12	4	16	94%
Ethnicity	Blank	0	1	1	6%
	TOTAL	12	5	17	100%
	Female	4	3	7	41%
	Male	8	2	10	59%
Gender	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	12	5	17	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	1	0	1	6%
	25-29	0	0	0	0%
	30-39	1	3	4	24%
Age	40-49	2	0	2	12%
Age	50-61	5	0	5	29%
	62-69	2	2	4	24%
	70-79	1	0	1	6%
	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	12	5	17	100%
	Chronically Homeless	3	0	3	13%
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	2	0	2	8%
	Veterans	3	0	3	13%
	Alcohol Use	1	0	1	4%
	Drug Use	3	0	3	13%
Subpopulations —	PTSD	3	0	3	13%
	Mental Health Conditions	2	0	2	8%
	Physical Disability	4	0	4	17%
	Developmental Disability	1	0	1	4%
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	2	0	2	8%
<u>L</u>	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	24	0	24	141%
Total Unsheltered I	Homeless Individuals	12	5	17	100%

2017 Unsheltered Homeless Count Overall and Subpopulation Data - VALLE VISTA

Field	Response Options	General	PIT Count	Total 2017 Unsheltered	
		Interview	Observational	Count	Percent
	American Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	2	50%
	Asian	0	0	0	0%
	Black or African American	0	0	0	0%
	Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0%
Race	White	1	1	2	50%
	Multiple Races	0	0	0	0%
	Don't Know/ Refused to Answer	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	2	2	4	100%
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0%
Faloui aitu	Not Hispanic or Latino	2	2	4	100%
Ethnicity	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	2	2	4	100%
	Female	0	0	0	0%
	Male	2	2	4	100%
Candan	Transgender	0	0	0	0%
Gender	Do Not Identify	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	2	2	4	100%
	0-5	0	0	0	0%
	17 or under	0	0	0	0%
	18-24	0	0	0	0%
	25-29	0	1	1	25%
	30-39	0	0	0	0%
A ===	40-49	1	0	1	25%
Age	50-61	1	0	1	25%
	62-69	0	1	1	25%
	70-79	0	0	0	0%
	80+	0	0	0	0%
	Blank	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	2	2	4	100%
	Chronically Homeless	2	0	2	25%
	Families with Children	0	0	0	0%
	Jail (Within 12 Months)	0	0	0	0%
	Veterans	0	0	0	0%
	Alcohol Use	1	0	1	13%
	Drug Use	1	0	1	13%
Subpopulations —	PTSD	1	0	1	13%
	Mental Health Conditions	1	0	1	13%
	Physical Disability	2	0	2	25%
	Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0%
	Brain Injury	0	0	0	0%
	Victim of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0%
	AIDS or HIV	0	0	0	0%
	TOTAL	8	0	8	200%
Total Unsheltered	Homeless Individuals	2	2	4	100%

Appendix D: PIT Count Misconceptions

Here are the 5 Most Common Misconceptions about PIT Count Estimates

written by Sam Batko February 11, 2014

Are you releasing your Point-in-Time (PIT) Count numbers soon? We at the Alliance have noticed a number of inaccuracies in stories in the media having to do with the PIT Count estimates of homeless populations and we have noted five points in particular on which journalists may sometimes want clarification. The Alliance's Homeless Research Institute has put together a media resource, "5 Myths about PIT Counts" to help journalists. We encourage you to provide it to journalists when you announce the 2014 PIT Count estimate for your community. You can download it here.



5 MYTHS about PIT COUNTS

February 2014

Myth 1: Point-in-Time counts do not count every homeless person and therefore are inaccurate.

PIT counts are a solid count of people experiencing homelessness in shelters, transitional housing, and on the street, in cars, in abandoned buildings, and in other places not intended for human habitation.

People experiencing homelessness in unsheltered locations are particularly difficult to find and enumerate. The PIT counts do miss people, as do most censuses. Nevertheless, PIT counts are important. They are the ONLY measure that captures the scope of people experiencing homelessness who are unsheltered – living on the streets, in cars, in abandoned buildings, and other places not meant for human habitation. And, by using the same methodology every year, PIT counts allow a community to assess the relative size of the homeless population over time.

Myth 2: Other national statistics contradict the data presented in Point-in-Time counts.

There are several national data sources on homelessness, but they do not cover the same population over the same time period, and subsequently cannot be directly compared to the PIT count.

PIT counts cover all people sheltered in homeless programs and unsheltered on a given night. They are conducted in every community, and are required by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). HUD also requires communities to collect data on people in homeless programs over the course of a year via Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS). The Department of Education (Education) counts children who are enrolled in school and living in shelter, unsheltered situations, hotels and motels, and doubled up with family or friends during the school year. The U.S. Census Bureau includes a measure for people experiencing homelessness in the decennial Census.

These measures examine different populations over different time periods using different methodologies and cannot be directly compared. They have, however, tended to show similar trends over time. For example, between 2012 and 2013, both the PIT count and the Education data showed that the number of people who live in shelters, transitional housing, and unsheltered has gone down.

Myth 3: Point-in-Time counts are meant to provide data on everyone who is homeless during a year.

PIT counts are intended to provide a snapshot of how many people are homeless on a given night.

PIT counts provide the number of people living in emergency shelters, transitional housing, and unsheltered locations in a community. They provide little detailed information about the characteristics of who experiences homelessness over time in a community. Communities can glean more information on homelessness over the course of a year by examining the data collected in HMIS.

Data from HMIS, however, does not provide information about the scope of unsheltered homelessness. PIT counts are uniquely valuable in that respect.

Myth 4: Fluctuations in the Point-in-Time counts simply reflect fluctuations in the number of shelter beds – not in the number of homeless people.

The PIT counts reflect the interaction among people in beds, people unsheltered, and utilization of beds.

PIT counts can be affected by the number of beds, but they also count people who are not sheltered reflecting the entire population of people who are literally homeless. PIT counts also reflect the varying utilization of beds (i.e., they sometimes go unused). For example, in 2013 the number of shelter and transitional housing beds went down, but the number of people in those beds went up meaning vacancy was reduced.

Myth 5: If the Point-in-Time count is down, it means that there is enough affordable housing in the community.

The PIT describes how the homeless system is managing people's experience of the shortage of affordable housing – not the availability of that housing.

The PIT count does not describe the affordable housing crisis. For that, a community should examine how many affordable units there are for the number of extremely low income households, the number of households that are doubled up, and the percentage of income that people pay for rent, among other things. Data on these measures is available from HUD and the U.S. Census Bureau.

A Point-in-Time count is a one-night, unduplicated count of people experiencing homelessness in a Continuum of Care (CoC). HUD requires that CoCs count their sheltered homeless population every year. They require that CoCs count their unsheltered homeless population every other year, on odd numbered calendar years. In 2012, despite not being required, 67 percent of CoCs conducted an unsheltered count. CoCs are expected to conduct their count during the last 10 days of January.

Appendix E: HUD Youth Homeless Definition

DETERMINING HOMELESS STATUS OF YOUTH QUICK GUIDE Types of Documentation (responsibility of intake worker to obtain the

Category

Living situation

- Shelter including emergency shelter, transitional housing, or hotel or motel paid by government or charity
- Street or other place not meant for human habitation (ex. car, garage, park, abandoned building)
- An institution (ex. jail, hospital, juvenile detention) that the youth is exiting and where youth was resident for 90 days or less AND the youth resided in emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately prior to entering
- Third party documentation, such as:
 - HMIS or victim services provider database printed record
 - Written statement by housing or services provider such as homeless liaison, street outreach worker, or shelter provider, or
 - Intake worker direct observation recorded in the file, or
- Certification of homelessness by youth AND documentation of intake worker's attempts to verify information, or
- (If exiting institution) Discharge paperwork or a written or oral statement from staff of the institution with beginning and end dates of the time the youth spent in the institution OR certification by youth that they exited institution AND documentation of intake worker's attempts to verify information. Also documentation of shelter or place not meant for human habitation prior to entering institution.

NOTE: A youth asking for emergency shelter or street outreach can self-certify their homelessness. This could be a sign-in sheet for shelter with a certification on top that the people signing in are homeless. No further documentation or attempts to verify are required to access emergency shelter.

- In own housing, but being evicted within 14 days
- A hotel or motel paid for by the youth, family or friends where the youth cannot stay for more than 14 days (often due to lack of ability to continue paying)
- With family or friends and being asked to leave within 14 days

Additionally, the youth must have no safe alternative housing, resources or support networks to maintain or obtain permanent housing.

Documentation that youth will lose their housing within 14 days:

- Notice of eviction or equivalent legal document, or
- Proof of inability to continue to pay for hotel or motel, or
- Statement by youth that they cannot continue to stay at the place they have been AND written or oral verification from owner or renter of housing obtained by intake worker OR documentation of intake worker's attempts to verify information; and

Documentation that the youth has no safe alternative housing, no financial or other resources, and no family or other support networks. Youth can self-certify

Youth who do not qualify as homeless under the other 3 Categories but who:

- Are homeless under other federal statutes including the Runaway & Homeless Youth
- Have not had their own place with a lease, ownership interest or occupancy agreement in the last 60 days
- Have moved two or more times in the last
- Can be expected to have continued housing instability because of a disability, substance use addiction, history of domestic violence or child abuse, or two or more barriers to employment
- Certification of homeless status by the non-profit, or state or local government entity, responsible for administering homeless assistance under other federal statutes, and
- Certification by the youth that they have not had a lease or other agreement for housing in the last 60 days with written documentation (e.g., from an outreach worker or homeless liaison) OR documentation of intake worker's attempts to verify information, and
- Certification by the youth that they have had two or more moves in the last 60 days with written documentation OR documentation of intake worker's attempts to verify information, and
- Documentation of special needs (e.g., copy of SSI check, third party verification, direct observation) or at least two barriers to employment

NOTE: HUD must approve CoC Program funded projects to serve youth under Category 3. ESG funded projects do not require HUD approval.

Youth fleeing or attempting to flee their housing or the place they are staying because of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions related to violence that has taken place in the house or has made them afraid to return to the house, including:

- Trading sex for housing
- Trafficking
- Physical abuse
- Violence (or perceived threat of violence) because of the youth's sexual orientation

Additionally, the youth must have no safe, alternative housing, resources or support networks to maintain or obtain permanent housing.

For providers that are not victim service providers:

- Statement by youth that they are fleeing because of domestic or other violence AND
- If the safety of the youth is not jeopardized, verification of the statement through written observation by the intake worker or staff at other organizations including law enforcement, housing or service provider, social worker, homeless liaison or legal assistance provider youth has sought assistance from OR documentation of intake worker's attempts to verify information and certification of the statement by the youth or intake worker

- Statement by youth that they are fleeing domestic or other violence AND
- Certification of the statement by the youth or intake worker

All providers must obtain a youth's self-certification that the youth has no safe alternative housing, no financial or other resources, and no family or other support networks. The intake worker should obtain any available documentation or statements supporting the youth's certification.

NOTE: Additional guidance on documenting homeless status can be found at Criteria and Recordkeeping Requirements for Definition of Homeless - HUD Exchange. Youth who are not determined to be homeless under one of the Categories above may be considered atrisk of homelessness and eligible for homelessness prevention services funded through ESG. For questions regarding this report, please contact: Donyielle Holley, Social Service Planner at RivCoPIT@RivCo.org or (951) 358-3844.

Please use the following citation when referencing this report:

Riverside County Department of Public Social Services Adult Services Division Homeless Programs Unit. County of Riverside 2017 Point-in-Time Homeless Count Report. County of Riverside, CA May 2017.

Participating Riverside Cities and County Agencies

